

**PCT**WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION  
International Bureau

## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

<b>(51) International Patent Classification 6 :</b> <b>C12N 15/12, 15/62, C07K 14/705, 14/71, 16/00, C12Q 1/68, C12N 1/21, 5/08, A61K 38/17, 39/395</b>	<b>A1</b>	<b>(11) International Publication Number:</b> <b>WO 97/44453</b> <b>(43) International Publication Date:</b> 27 November 1997 (27.11.97)
<b>(21) International Application Number:</b> PCT/US97/07694 <b>(22) International Filing Date:</b> 6 May 1997 (06.05.97) <b>(30) Priority Data:</b> 08/643,839 7 May 1996 (07.05.96) US <b>(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US):</b> GENENTECH, INC. [US/US]; 460 Point San Bruno Boulevard, South San Francisco, CA 94080 (US). <b>(72) Inventors; and</b> <b>(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only):</b> DAVIS-SMYTH, Terri, Lynn [US/US]; Apartment 6402, 764 Bounty Drive, Foster City, CA 94404 (US). CHEN, Helen, Hsifei [-/US]; 319 Michelle Lane, Daly City, CA 94015 (US). PRESTA, Leonard [-/US]; Apartment 206, 1900 Gough, San Francisco, CA 94109 (US). FERRARA, Napoleone [-/US]; Apartment 306, 3835 Scott Street, San Francisco, CA 94123 (US). <b>(74) Agents:</b> CHICKERING, Robert, B. et al.; Flehr, Hohbach, Test, Albritton & Herbert LLP, Suite 3400, 4 Embarcadero Center, San Francisco, CA 94111-4187 (US).		<b>(81) Designated States:</b> AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GE, GH, HU, IL, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ARIPO patent (GH, KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).  <b>Published</b> <i>With international search report.</i> <i>Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i>
<b>(54) Title:</b> NOVEL INHIBITORS OF VASCULAR ENDOTHELIAL GROWTH FACTOR ACTIVITY, THEIR USES AND PROCESSES FOR THEIR PRODUCTION  <b>(57) Abstract</b>  The present invention is directed to novel chimeric VEGF receptor proteins comprising amino acid sequences derived from the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) receptors flt-1 and KDR, including the murine homologue to the human KDR receptor FLK-1, wherein said chimeric VEGF receptor proteins bind to VEGF and antagonize the endothelial cell proliferative and angiogenic activity thereof. The present invention is also directed to nucleic acids and expression vectors encoding these chimeric VEGF receptor proteins, host cells harboring such expression vectors, pharmaceutically acceptable compositions comprising such proteins, methods of preparing such proteins and to methods utilizing such proteins for the treatment of conditions associated with undesired vascularization.		

**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						

**NOVEL INHIBITORS OF VASCULAR ENDOTHELIAL GROWTH FACTOR  
ACTIVITY, THEIR USES AND PROCESSES FOR THEIR PRODUCTION**

**FIELD OF THE INVENTION**

The present invention is directed to novel chimeric VEGF receptor proteins comprising amino acid sequences derived from the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) receptors flt-1, KDR and the murine  
5 homologue of the human KDR receptor, FLK-1, wherein said chimeric VEGF receptor proteins bind to VEGF and antagonize the endothelial cell proliferative and angiogenic activity thereof. The present invention is also directed to nucleic acids and expression vectors encoding these chimeric VEGF receptor proteins, host cells harboring such expression vectors,  
10 pharmaceutically acceptable compositions comprising such proteins, methods of preparing such proteins and to methods utilizing such proteins for the treatment of conditions associated with undesired vascularization.

**BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION**

The two major cellular components of the mammalian vascular system are  
15 the endothelial and smooth muscle cells. Endothelial cells form the lining of the inner surface of all blood vessels in the mammal and constitute a non-thrombogenic interface between blood and tissue. Therefore, the proliferation of endothelial cells is an important component for the development of new capillaries and blood vessels which, in turn, is a

necessary process for the growth and/or regeneration of mammalian tissues.

One protein that has been shown to play an extremely important role in promoting endothelial cell proliferation and angiogenesis is vascular  
5 endothelial growth factor (VEGF). VEGF is a heparin-binding endothelial cell growth factor which was originally identified and purified from media conditioned by bovine pituitary follicular or folliculostellate (FS) cells. Ferrara and Henzel, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm.* 161:851-858 (1989). VEGF is a dimer with an apparent molecular mass of about 46 kDa with  
10 each subunit having an apparent molecular mass of about 23 kDa. Human VEGF is expressed in a variety of tissues as multiple homodimeric forms (121, 165, 189 and 206 amino acids per monomer), wherein each form arises as a result of alternative splicing of a single RNA transcript. VEGF<sub>121</sub> is a soluble mitogen that does not bind heparin whereas the  
15 longer forms of VEGF bind heparin with progressively higher affinity.

Biochemical analyses have shown that VEGF exhibits a strong mitogenic specificity for vascular endothelial cells. For example, media conditioned by cells transfected by human VEGF cDNA promoted the proliferation of capillary endothelial cells, whereas medium conditioned by control cells  
20 did not. Leung, et al., *Science* 246:1306 (1989). Thus, VEGF is known to promote vascular endothelial cell proliferation and angiogenesis, a process which involves the formation of new blood vessels from preexisting endothelium. As such, VEGF may be useful for the therapeutic treatment of numerous conditions in which a growth-  
25 promoting activity on the vascular endothelial cells is important, for example, in ulcers, vascular injuries and myocardial infarction.

In contrast, however, while vascular endothelial proliferation is desirable under certain circumstances, vascular endothelial proliferation and angiogenesis are also important components of a variety of diseases and

disorders including tumor growth and metastasis, rheumatoid arthritis, psoriasis, atherosclerosis, diabetic retinopathy, retrolental fibroplasia, neovascular glaucoma, age-related macular degeneration, hemangiomas, immune rejection of transplanted corneal tissue and other tissues, and  
5 chronic inflammation. Obviously, in individuals suffering from any of these disorders, one would want to inhibit, or at least substantially reduce, the endothelial proliferating activity of the VEGF protein.

In the specific case of tumor cell growth, angiogenesis appears to be crucial for the transition from hyperplasia to neoplasia and for providing  
10 nourishment to the growing solid tumor. Folkman, et al., *Nature* 339:58 (1989). Angiogenesis also allows tumors to be in contact with the vascular bed of the host, which may provide a route for metastasis of tumor cells. Evidence for the role of angiogenesis in tumor metastasis is provided, for example, by studies showing a correlation between the  
15 number and density of microvessels in histologic sections of invasive human breast carcinoma and actual presence of distant metastasis. Weidner et al., *New Engl. J. Med.* 324:1 (1991). Thus, one possible mechanism for the effective treatment of neoplastic tumors is to inhibit or substantially reduce the endothelial proliferative and angiogenic activity of  
20 the VEGF protein.

The endothelial proliferative activity of VEGF is known to be mediated by two high affinity tyrosine kinase receptors, flt-1 and KDR, which exist only on the surface of vascular endothelial cells. DeVries, et al., *Science* 225:989-991 (1992) and Terman, et al., *Oncogene* 6:1677-1683 (1991).  
25 Both the flt-1 and KDR tyrosine kinase receptors have seven immunoglobulin-like (Ig-like) domains which form the extracellular ligand-binding regions of the receptors, a transmembrane domain which serves to anchor the receptor on the surface of cells in which it is expressed and an intracellular catalytic tyrosine kinase domain which is interrupted by a  
30 "kinase insert". While the KDR receptor binds only the VEGF protein with

high affinity, the flt-1 receptor also binds placenta growth factor (PLGF), a molecule having significant structural homology with VEGF. An additional member of the receptor tyrosine kinases having seven Ig-like domains in the extracellular ligand-binding region is FLT4, which is not a  
5 receptor for either VEGF or PLGF, but instead binds to a different ligand; Vh1.4.5. The Vh1.4.5 ligand has been reported in the literature as VEGF-related protein (VRP) or VEGF-C.

Recent gene knockout studies have demonstrated that both the flt-1 and KDR receptors are essential for the normal development of the  
10 mammalian vascular system, although their respective roles in endothelial cell proliferation and differentiation appear to be distinct. Thus, the endothelial proliferative and angiogenic activity of the VEGF protein is mediated by binding to the extracellular ligand-binding region of the flt-1 and KDR receptors on the surface of vascular endothelial cells.

15 In view of the role of VEGF in vascular endothelial proliferation and angiogenesis, and the role that these processes play in many different diseases and disorders, it is desirable to have a means for reducing or inhibiting one or more of the biological activities of VEGF. As such, the present invention is predicated upon research intended to identify the Ig-  
20 like domain or domains of the flt-1 and KDR receptor extracellular ligand-binding region which mediate binding to the VEGF protein and inserting or fusing that domain or domains into amino acid sequences derived from another protein to produce a "chimeric VEGF receptor protein". The chimeric VEGF receptor proteins of the present invention will bind to and  
25 inactivate endogenous VEGF, thereby providing a means for reducing or inhibiting endogenous VEGF activity and, in turn, reducing or inhibiting endothelial cell proliferation and angiogenesis. Thus, it is an object of the present invention to provide novel chimeric VEGF receptor proteins comprising amino acid sequences derived from the extracellular ligand-  
30 binding region of the flt-1 and KDR receptors, wherein said chimeric VEGF

receptor proteins are capable of binding to and inhibiting the activity of VEGF.

Further objects of the present invention are to provide nucleic acids encoding chimeric VEGF receptor proteins of the present invention, replicable expression vectors capable of expressing such chimeric proteins, host cells transfected with those expression vectors, pharmaceutical compositions comprising the chimeric VEGF receptor proteins of the present invention, methods for preparing such chimeric proteins and method of using those chimeric proteins for the therapeutic treatment of an individual in need thereof.

#### **SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION**

The objects of this invention, as generally defined supra, are achieved by the provision of chimeric VEGF receptor proteins which are capable of binding to VEGF and exerting an inhibitory effect thereon, wherein said chimeric VEGF receptor protein comprises Ig-like domains 1, 2 and 3 of the flt-1 and/or the KDR receptor (or the murine homologue of the KDR receptor, FLK-1) or functional equivalents thereof.

In a preferred embodiment, the chimeric VEGF receptor proteins of the present invention contain flt-1 or KDR receptor amino acid sequences corresponding only to Ig-like domains 1, 2 and 3 of the extracellular ligand-binding region thereof and each Ig-like domain is derived from the same VEGF receptor.

In other embodiments, however, the chimeric VEGF receptor proteins of the present invention comprise Ig-like domains 1, 2 and 3 of the extracellular ligand-binding region of the flt-1 or KDR receptor in addition to one or more of the remaining four immunoglobulin-like domains thereof. Preferably, the Ig-like domains employed are derived from the

same receptor, however, a combination of Ig-like domains derived from both the flt-1 and KDR receptors will find use.

In another embodiment of the present invention, the chimeric VEGF receptor proteins of the present invention comprise the extracellular  
5 ligand-binding region of the FLT4 receptor wherein at least Ig-like domain 2 of the FLT4 receptor is replaced with the Ig-like domain 2 of either the flt-1 or KDR receptor. Preferably, only Ig-like domain 2 of the FLT4 receptor is replaced by the corresponding Ig-like domain from either the flt-1 or KDR receptor, however, other domains may also be similarly  
10 replaced.

A further aspect of the present invention is directed to nucleic acid sequences encoding the chimeric VEGF receptor proteins described herein and functional equivalents thereof. It is well known to the ordinarily skilled artisan that such nucleic acids can vary due to the degeneracy of  
15 the genetic code and such nucleic acid variants are also encompassed by the present invention.

In still other embodiments, the present invention relates to replicable expression vectors encoding the various chimeric VEGF receptor proteins described supra, host cells transfected with those expression vectors and  
20 compositions comprising the chimeric VEGF receptor proteins described supra compounded with a pharmaceutically acceptable excipient.

In yet other embodiments, the present invention relates to methods for producing the chimeric VEGF receptor proteins described supra by introducing an expression vector encoding the desired chimeric protein  
25 into an appropriate expression systems and effecting the expression of said protein.



Yet another aspect of the invention provides for the use of the chimeric VEGF receptor proteins of the present invention for the treatment of conditions associated with inappropriate vascularization wherein an inhibition of vascularization and angiogenesis is desirable.

5

#### **BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

Figure 1 shows an alignment of the amino acid sequences for the extracellular ligand-binding regions of the flt-1, KDR and FLT4 receptors. Amino acids are presented by their standard single letter designations. Dashes are inserted to create the best fit for alignment of the seven Ig-  
10 like domains. The seven Ig-like domains of each extracellular ligand-binding region of each receptor presented are shown as boxed areas.

Figure 2 presents the oligonucleotides used in the oligonucleotide-directed mutagenesis-generated deletions of each of the seven Ig-like domains existing within the extracellular ligand-binding region of the flt-1 receptor.  
15 The restriction sites created in the DNA sequence are underlined and are listed above the underlined sequence. Amino acids are presented by their standard one-letter designations. Numbers shown in parentheses designate the amino acid number. In some cases, amino acids were changed when the restriction sites were designed into the  
20 oligonucleotides. These amino acid changes are underlined and the original amino acid at that position is presented below in parentheses.

Figure 3 shows the ability of intact flt-1/IgG chimeric VEGF receptor protein and various flt-1/IgG domain deletion chimeric proteins to specifically bind to the VEGF ligand. Binding efficiency is presented as  
25 the total cpm bound per 5 ng of immunoreactive (ir) F<sub>c</sub>. "wt" refers to the intact flt-1/IgG chimeric VEGF receptor protein. "d1" through "d7" refer to the flt-1/IgG domain deletion chimeras where the number corresponds to the Ig-like domain that is deleted.

Figure 4 shows the ability of intact flt-1/IgG chimeric VEGF receptor protein and various flt-1/IgG domain deletion chimeric proteins to specifically bind to the VEGF ligand. Binding efficiency is presented as the total cpm bound per 4.5 ng of immunoreactive (ir) F<sub>c</sub>. "flt-wt" refers to the intact flt-1/IgG chimeric protein. "flt(1,2)" is the chimeric protein having only flt-1 Ig-like domains 1 and 2 fused to the F<sub>c</sub> of IgG. "flt(2)" is the chimeric protein having only flt-1 Ig-like domain 2 fused to the F<sub>c</sub> of IgG. "flt(2,3)" is the chimeric protein having only flt-1 Ig-like domains 2 and 3 fused to the F<sub>c</sub> of IgG. "flt(1,2,3)" is the chimeric protein having only flt-1 Ig-like domains 1, 2 and 3 fused to the F<sub>c</sub> of IgG. Finally, "KDR(2)" is a chimeric VEGF receptor protein wherein only the Ig-like domain 2 of the extracellular ligand-binding region of the KDR receptor is fused to the F<sub>c</sub> of IgG.

Figure 5 shows the percent binding of the VEGF ligand to the intact flt-1/IgG chimeric VEGF receptor protein and to the flt(1,2,3) deletion chimera in the presence of increasing amounts of unlabeled VEGF competitor. "●" designates binding by the flt(1,2,3) deletion chimera. "◆" designates binding by the intact flt-1/IgG chimeric protein.

Figure 6 shows the ability of intact flt-1/IgG chimeric VEGF receptor protein and various Ig-like domain 2 "swap" mutants to specifically bind to the VEGF ligand. Binding efficiency is presented as the total cpm bound per 1 ng of immunoreactive (ir) F<sub>c</sub>. "flt-1" refers to the native flt-1/IgG chimeric protein. "flt.d2" refers to the flt-1/IgG chimeric protein having a deletion of Ig-like domain 2. "flt.K2" refers to the "swap" chimera protein where the Ig-like domain 2 of the flt-1/IgG protein is replaced with the Ig-like domain 2 of the KDR receptor. Finally, "fltF4.2" refers to the "swap" chimera protein where the Ig-like domain 2 of the flt-1 protein is replaced with the Ig-like domain 2 of the FLT4 receptor.

Figure 7 shows the percent inhibition of VEGF binding by either unlabeled VEGF competitor or unlabeled PLGF competitor with various flt-1/IgG "swap" chimeric proteins. "flt" and "KDR" designate the native flt-1 and native KDR receptor, respectively. "flt.K1" refers to the "swap" chimera wherein the Ig-like domain 1 of the flt-1 receptor is replaced by the Ig-like domain 1 of the KDR receptor. "flt.K2" refers to the "swap" chimera wherein the Ig-like domain 2 of the flt-1 receptor is replaced by the Ig-like domain 2 of the KDR receptor. "flt.K3" refers to the "swap" chimera wherein the Ig-like domain 3 of the flt-1 receptor is replaced by the Ig-like domain 3 of the KDR receptor. "flt.K5" refers to the "swap" chimera wherein the Ig-like domain 5 of the flt-1 receptor is replaced by the Ig-like domain 5 of the KDR receptor. Finally, "flt.K7" refers to the "swap" chimera wherein the Ig-like domain 7 of the flt-1 receptor is replaced by the Ig-like domain 7 of the KDR receptor.

Figure 8 shows the entire amino acid sequence of the intact FLT4 receptor. Amino acid residues are presented in their standard one-letter designations.

Figure 9 shows the entire amino acid sequence of the receptor encoded by the flt-1(1,2,3)/FLT4 expression construct. Underlined amino acid residues are those derived from Ig-like domains 1-3 of the flt-1 receptor and which replace the Ig-like domains 1-3 of the FLT4 receptor. The bolded amino acid residue differs from the wild type FLT4 amino acid residue normally at that position. Amino acid residues are presented in their standard one-letter designations.

Figure 10 shows the entire amino acid sequence of the receptor encoded by the flt-1(2)/FLT4 expression construct. Underlined amino acid residues are those derived from Ig-like domain 2 of the flt-1 receptor and which replace the Ig-like domain 2 of the FLT4 receptor. The bolded amino acid residues differ from the wild type FLT4 amino acid residues normally at

that position. Amino acid residues are presented in their standard one-letter designations.

### **DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION**

#### **A. Definitions**

- 5 As used herein, the term "chimeric VEGF receptor protein" means a receptor molecule having amino acid sequences derived from at least two different proteins, at least one of which is the flt-1 or KDR receptor, said receptor molecule being capable of binding to and inhibiting the activity of VEGF. Preferably, the chimeric VEGF receptor proteins of the present
- 10 invention consist of amino acid sequences derived from only two different VEGF receptor molecules, however, amino acid sequences comprising Ig-like domains from the extracellular ligand-binding region of the flt-1 and/or KDR receptor can be linked to amino acid sequences from other unrelated proteins, for example, immunoglobulin sequences. Other amino acid
- 15 sequences to which Ig-like domains are combined will be readily apparent to those of ordinary skill in the art.

The term "KDR receptor" as used herein is meant to encompass not only the KDR receptor but also the murine homologue of the human KDR receptor, designated FLK-1.

- 20 "Immunoglobulin-like domain" or "Ig-like domain" refers to each of the seven independent and distinct domains that are found in the extracellular ligand-binding region of the flt-1, KDR and FLT4 receptors. Ig-like domains are generally referred to by number, the number designating the specific domain as it is shown in Figure 1. As used herein, the term "Ig-
- 25 like domain" is intended to encompass not only the complete wild-type domain, but also insertional, deletional and substitutional variants thereof which substantially retain the functional characteristics of the intact domain. It will be readily apparent to those of ordinary skill in the art that numerous variants of the Ig-like domains of the flt-1 and KDR receptors

can be obtained which will retain substantially the same functional characteristics as the wild type domain.

"Soluble" as used herein with reference to the chimeric VEGF receptor proteins of the present invention is intended to mean chimeric VEGF  
5 receptor proteins which are not fixed to the surface of cells via a transmembrane domain. As such, soluble forms of the chimeric VEGF binding proteins of the present invention, while capable of binding to and inactivating VEGF, do not comprise a transmembrane domain and thus generally do not become associated with the cell membrane of cells in  
10 which the molecule is expressed. A soluble form of the receptor exerts an inhibitory effect on the biological activity of the VEGF protein by binding to VEGF, thereby preventing it from binding to its natural receptors present on the surface of target cells.

"Membrane-bound" as used herein with reference to the chimeric VEGF  
15 receptor proteins of the present invention is intended to mean chimeric VEGF receptor proteins which are fixed, via a transmembrane domain, to the surface of cells in which they are expressed.

"Functional equivalents" when used in reference to the Ig-like domains of the extracellular ligand-binding regions of the flt-1, KDR or FLT4 receptors  
20 means the Ig-like domain or domains possess at least one particular alteration, such as a deletion, addition and/or substitution therein yet retains substantially the same functional characteristics as does the wild type Ig-like domain or domains with reference more specifically to Ig-like domains 1, 2 and 3 of the flt-1 and/or KDR receptor, "functional  
25 equivalents" intends scope of so much of such domains as to result in at least substantial binding to VEGF, i.e., a partial sequence of each of said domains that will produce a binding effect.

"Inhibitory effect" when used in reference to the activity of a chimeric VEGF receptor protein of the present invention means that the chimeric VEGF receptor protein binds to and substantially inhibits the activity of VEGF. Generally, the result of this inhibitory effect is a decrease in the vascularization and/or angiogenesis which occurs as a result of the VEGF protein.

"Undesired vascularization" refers to the endothelial proliferation and/or angiogenesis which is associated with an undesirable disease or disorder and which, if reduced or eliminated, would result in a reduction or elimination of the undesirable characteristics of the disease or disorder. For example, the vascularization and/or angiogenesis associated with tumor formation and metastasis and various retinopathies is undesirable.

"Transfection" refers to the taking up of an expression vector by a host cell whether or not any coding sequences are in fact expressed. Numerous methods of transfection are known to the ordinarily skilled artisan, for example,  $\text{CaPO}_4$  and electroporation. Successful transfection is generally recognized when any indication of the operation of this vector occurs within the host cell.

"Transformation" means introducing DNA into an organism so that the DNA is replicable, either as an extrachromosomal element or by chromosomal integration. Depending on the host cell used, transformation is done using standard techniques appropriate to such cells. The calcium treatment employing calcium chloride, as described by Cohen, S.N., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA)*, 69, 2110 (1972) and Mandel et al. *J. Mol. Biol.* 53, 154 (1970), is generally used for prokaryotes or other cells that contain substantial cell-wall barriers. For mammalian cells without such cell walls, the calcium phosphate precipitation method of Graham, F. and van der Eb, A., *Virology*, 52, 456-457 (1978) is preferred. General aspects of mammalian cell host system

transformations have been described by Axel in U.S. Pat. No. 4,399,216 issued August 16, 1983. Transformations into yeast are typically carried out according to the method of Van Solingen, P., et al. *J. Bact.*, 130, 946 (1977) and Hsiao, C.L., et al. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA)* 76, 3829  
5 (1979). However, other methods for introducing DNA into cells such as by nuclear injection, electroporation or by protoplast fusion may also be used.

"Site-directed mutagenesis" is a technique standard in the art, and is conducted using a synthetic oligonucleotide primer complementary to a  
10 single-stranded phage DNA to be mutagenized except for limited mismatching, representing the desired mutation. Briefly, the synthetic oligonucleotide is used as a primer to direct synthesis of a strand complementary to the phage, and the resulting double-stranded DNA is transformed into a phage-supporting host bacterium. Cultures of the  
15 transformed bacteria are plated in top agar, permitting plaque formation from single cells that harbor the phage. Theoretically, 50% of the new plaques will contain the phage having, as a single strand, the mutated form; 50% will have the original sequence. The plaques are hybridized with kinased synthetic primer at a temperature that permits hybridization  
20 of an exact match, but at which the mismatches with the original strand are sufficient to prevent hybridization. Plaques that hybridize with the probe are then selected and cultured, and the DNA is recovered.

"Operably linked" refers to juxtaposition such that the normal function of the components can be performed. Thus, a coding sequence "operably  
25 linked" to control sequences refers to a configuration wherein the coding sequence can be expressed under the control of these sequences and wherein the DNA sequences being linked are contiguous and, in the case of a secretory leader, contiguous and in reading phase. For example, DNA for a presequence or secretory leader is operably linked to DNA for a  
30 polypeptide if it is expressed as a preprotein that participates in the

secretion of the polypeptide; a promoter or enhancer is operably linked to a coding sequence if it affects the transcription of the sequence; or a ribosome binding site is operably linked to a coding sequence if it is positioned so as to facilitate translation. Linking is accomplished by  
5 ligation at convenient restriction sites. If such sites do not exist, then synthetic oligonucleotide adaptors or linkers are used in accord with conventional practice.

"Control sequences" refers to DNA sequences necessary for the  
10 expression of an operably linked coding sequence in a particular host organism. The control sequences that are suitable for prokaryotes, for example, include a promoter, optionally an operator sequence, a ribosome binding site, and possibly, other as yet poorly understood sequences. Eukaryotic cells are known to utilize promoters, polyadenylation signals,  
15 and enhancers.

"Expression system" refers to DNA sequences containing a desired coding sequence and control sequences in operable linkage, so that hosts transformed with these sequences are capable of producing the encoded proteins. To effect transformation, the expression system may be  
20 included on a vector; however, the relevant DNA may then also be integrated into the host chromosome.

As used herein, "cell," "cell line," and "cell culture" are used interchangeably and all such designations include progeny. Thus, "transformants" or "transformed cells" includes the primary subject cell  
25 and cultures derived therefrom without regard for the number of transfers. It is also understood that all progeny may not be precisely identical in DNA content, due to deliberate or inadvertent mutations. Mutant progeny that have the same functionality as screened for in the originally transformed cell are included. Where distinct designations are  
30 intended, it will be clear from the context.



"Plasmids" are designated by a lower case p preceded and/or followed by capital letters and/or numbers. The starting plasmids herein are commercially available, are publicly available on an unrestricted basis, or can be constructed from such available plasmids in accord with published procedures. In addition, other equivalent plasmids are known in the art and will be apparent to the ordinary artisan.

"Digestion" of DNA refers to catalytic cleavage of the DNA with an enzyme that acts only at certain locations in the DNA. Such enzymes are called restriction enzymes, and the sites for which each is specific is called a restriction site. The various restriction enzymes used herein are commercially available and their reaction conditions, cofactors, and other requirements as established by the enzyme suppliers are used.

Restriction enzymes commonly are designated by abbreviations composed of a capital letter followed by other letters representing the microorganism from which each restriction enzyme originally was obtained and then a number designating the particular enzyme. In general, about 1 mg of plasmid or DNA fragment is used with about 1-2 units of enzyme in about 20 ml of buffer solution. Appropriate buffers and substrate amounts for particular restriction enzymes are specified by the manufacturer.

Incubation of about 1 hour at 37°C is ordinarily used, but may vary in accordance with the supplier's instructions. After incubation, protein is removed by extraction with phenol and chloroform, and the digested nucleic acid is recovered from the aqueous fraction by precipitation with ethanol. Digestion with a restriction enzyme infrequently is followed with bacterial alkaline phosphatase hydrolysis of the terminal 5' phosphates to prevent the two restriction cleaved ends of a DNA fragment from "circularizing" or forming a closed loop that would impede insertion of another DNA fragment at the restriction site. Unless otherwise stated, digestion of plasmids is not followed by 5' terminal dephosphorylation. Procedures and reagents for dephosphorylation are conventional (T.

Maniatis et al. 1982, *Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual* (New York: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, 1982) pp. 133-134).

"Recovery" or "isolation" of a given fragment of DNA from a restriction digest means separation of the digest on polyacrylamide or agarose gel by electrophoresis, identification of the fragment of interest by comparison of its mobility versus that of marker DNA fragments of known molecular weight, removal of the gel section containing the desired fragment, and separation of the gel from DNA. This procedure is known generally. For example, see R. Lawn et al., *Nucleic Acids Res.* 9, 6103-6114 (1981) and D. Goeddel et al., *Nucleic Acids Res.* 8, 4057 (1980).

"Ligation" refers to the process of forming phosphodiester bonds between two double stranded nucleic acid fragments (T. Maniatis et al. 1982, supra, p. 146). Unless otherwise provided, ligation may be accomplished using known buffers and conditions with 10 units of T4 DNA ligase ("ligase") per 0.5 mg of approximately equimolar amounts of the DNA fragments to be ligated.

"Preparation" of DNA from transformants means isolating plasmid DNA from microbial culture. Unless otherwise provided, the alkaline/SDS method of Maniatis et al. 1982, supra, p. 90, may be used.

"Oligonucleotides" are short-length, single- or double- stranded polydeoxynucleotides that are chemically synthesized by known methods (such as phosphotriester, phosphite, or phosphoramidite chemistry, using solid phase techniques such as described in EP Pat. Pub. No. 266,032 published May 4, 1988, or via deoxynucleoside H-phosphonate intermediates as described by Froehler et al., *Nucl. Acids Res.* 14, 5399-5407 [1986]). They are then purified on polyacrylamide gels.

**B. General Methodology****1. Amino Acid Sequence Variants**

It will be appreciated that various amino acid substitutions can be made in the Ig-like domain or domains of the chimeric VEGF receptor proteins of the present invention without departing from the spirit of the present invention with respect to the chimeric proteins' ability to bind to and inhibit the activity of VEGF. Thus, point mutational and other broader variations may be made in the Ig-like domain or domains of the chimeric VEGF receptor proteins of the present invention so as to impart interesting properties that do not substantially effect the chimeric protein's ability to bind to and inhibit the activity of VEGF. These variants may be made by means generally known well in the art.

**a. Covalent Modifications**

Covalent modifications may be made to various amino acid residues of the Ig-like domain or domains present in the chimeric VEGF receptor protein, thereby imparting new properties to that Ig-like domain or domains without eliminating the capability to bind to and inactivate VEGF.

For example, cysteinyl residues most commonly are reacted with  $\alpha$ -haloacetates (and corresponding amines), such as chloroacetic acid or chloroacetamide, to give carboxymethyl or carboxyamidomethyl derivatives. Cysteinyl residues also are derivatized by reaction with bromotrifluoroacetone,  $\alpha$ -bromo-b-(5-imidozoyl)propionic acid, chloroacetyl phosphate, N-alkylmaleimides, 3-nitro-2-pyridyl disulfide, methyl 2-pyridyl disulfide, p-chloromercuribenzoate, 2-chloromercuri-4-nitrophenol, or chloro-7-nitrobenzo-2-oxa-1,3-diazole.

Histidyl residues are derivatized by reaction with diethylpyrocarbonate at pH 5.5-7.0 because this agent is relatively specific for the histidyl side chain. Para-bromophenacyl bromide also is useful; the reaction is preferably performed in 0.1M sodium cacodylate at pH 6.0.

Lysinyl and amino terminal residues are reacted with succinic or other carboxylic acid anhydrides. Derivatization with these agents has the effect of reversing the charge of the lysinyl residues. Other suitable reagents for derivatizing  $\alpha$ -amino-containing residues include imidoesters  
5 such as methyl picolinimate; pyridoxal phosphate; pyridoxal; chloroborohydride; trinitrobenzenesulfonic acid; O-methylisourea; 2,4-pentanedione; and transaminase-catalyzed reaction with glyoxylate.

Arginyl residues are modified by reaction with one or several conventional reagents, among them phenylglyoxal, 2,3-butanedione, 1,2-  
10 cyclohexanedione, and ninhydrin. Derivatization of arginine residues requires that the reaction be performed in alkaline conditions because of the high  $pK_a$  of the guanidine functional group. Furthermore, these reagents may react with the groups of lysine as well as the arginine epsilon-amino group.

15 The specific modification of tyrosyl residues per se has been studied extensively, with particular interest in introducing spectral labels into tyrosyl residues by reaction with aromatic diazonium compounds or tetranitromethane. Most commonly, N-acetylimidazol and tetranitromethane are used to form O-acetyl tyrosyl species and 3-nitro  
20 derivatives, respectively. Tyrosyl residues are iodinated using  $^{125}I$  or  $^{131}I$  to prepare labeled proteins for use in radioimmunoassay, the chloramine T method described above being suitable.

Carboxyl side groups (aspartyl or glutamyl) are selectively modified by reaction with carbodiimides ( $R'-N-C-N-R'$ ) such as 1-cyclohexyl-3-(2-  
25 morpholinyl-(4-ethyl) carbodiimide or 1-ethyl-3-(4-azonia-4,4-dimethylpentyl) carbodiimide. Furthermore, aspartyl and glutamyl residues are converted to asparaginy and glutaminy residues by reaction with ammonium ions.

Derivatization with bifunctional agents is useful for crosslinking the chimeric VEGF receptor protein to a water-insoluble support matrix or surface for use in the method for purifying the VEGF protein from complex mixtures. Commonly used crosslinking agents include, e.g., 1,1-bis(diazoacetyl)-2-phenylethane, glutaraldehyde, N-hydroxysuccinimide esters, for example, esters with 4-azidosalicylic acid, homobifunctional imidoesters, including disuccinimidyl esters such as 3,3'-dithiobis-(succinimidylpropionate), and bifunctional maleimides such as bis-N-maleimido-1,8-octane. Derivatizing agents such as methyl-3-[(p-azidophenyl)dithio]propioimide yield photoactivatable intermediates that are capable of forming crosslinks in the presence of light. Alternatively, reactive water-insoluble matrices such as cyanogen bromide-activated carbohydrates and the reactive substrates described in U.S. Pat. Nos. 3,969,287; 3,691,016; 4,195,128; 4,247,642; 4,229,537; and 4,330,440 are employed for protein immobilization.

Glutaminyl and asparaginyl residues are frequently deamidated to the corresponding glutamyl and aspartyl residues. Alternatively, these residues are deamidated under mildly acidic conditions. Either form of these residues falls within the scope of this invention.

Other modifications include hydroxylation of proline and lysine, phosphorylation of hydroxyl groups of seryl or threonyl residues, methylation of the  $\alpha$ -amino groups of lysine, arginine, and histidine side chains (T.E. Creighton, *Proteins: Structure and Molecular Properties*, W.H. Freeman & Co., San Francisco, pp. 79-86 [1983]), acetylation of the N-terminal amine, and, in some instances, amidation of the C-terminal carboxyl group.

#### b. DNA Mutations

Amino acid sequence variants of the Ig-like domain or domains present in the chimeric VEGF receptor proteins of the present invention can also be

prepared by creating mutations in the DNA encoding the chimeric protein. Such variants include, for example, deletions from, or insertions or substitutions of, amino acid residues within the amino acid sequence of the Ig-like domain or domains. Any combination of deletion, insertion,  
5 and substitution may also be made to arrive at the final construct, provided that the final construct possesses the desired activity. Obviously, the mutations that will be made in the DNA encoding the variant must not place the sequence out of reading frame and preferably will not create complementary regions that could produce secondary  
10 mRNA structure (see EP 75,444A).

At the genetic level, variants of the Ig-like domain or domains present in the chimeric VEGF receptor proteins of the present invention ordinarily are prepared by site-directed mutagenesis of nucleotides in the DNA encoding the Ig-like domain or domains, thereby producing DNA encoding  
15 the variant, and thereafter expressing the DNA in recombinant cell culture. The variants typically exhibit the same qualitative ability to bind to the VEGF ligand as does the unaltered chimeric protein.

While the site for introducing an amino acid sequence variation in the Ig-like domain or domains of the chimeric VEGF receptor protein is  
20 predetermined, the mutation per se need not be predetermined. For example, to optimize the performance of a mutation at a given site, random mutagenesis may be conducted at the target codon or region and the expressed chimeric protein variants screened for the optimal combination of desired attributes such as ability to specifically bind to the  
25 VEGF ligand, *in vivo* half-life, and the like. Techniques for making substitution mutations at predetermined sites in DNA having a known sequence are well known, for example, site-specific mutagenesis.

Preparation of variants in the Ig-like domain or domains of a chimeric VEGF receptor protein in accordance herewith is preferably achieved by

site-specific mutagenesis of DNA that encodes an earlier prepared chimeric protein. Site-specific mutagenesis allows the production of Ig-like domain variants through the use of specific oligonucleotide sequences that encode the DNA sequence of the desired mutation, as well as a  
5 sufficient number of adjacent nucleotides, to provide a primer sequence of sufficient size and sequence complexity to form a stable duplex on both sides of the deletion junction being traversed. Typically, a primer of about 20 to 25 nucleotides in length is preferred, with about 5 to 10 residues on both sides of the junction of the sequence being altered. In  
10 general, the technique of site-specific mutagenesis is well known in the art, as exemplified by publications such as Adelman et al., *DNA* 2, 183 (1983), the disclosure of which is incorporated herein by reference.

As will be appreciated, the site-specific mutagenesis technique typically employs a phage vector that exists in both a single-stranded and double-  
15 stranded form. Typical vectors useful in site-directed mutagenesis include vectors such as the M13 phage, for example, as disclosed by Messing et al., *Third Cleveland Symposium on Macromolecules and Recombinant DNA*, Editor A. Walton, Elsevier, Amsterdam (1981), the disclosure of which is incorporated herein by reference. These phage are readily  
20 commercially available and their use is generally well known to those skilled in the art. Alternatively, plasmid vectors that contain a single-stranded phage origin of replication (Veira et al., *Meth. Enzymol.*, 153, 3 [1987]) may be employed to obtain single-stranded DNA.

In general, site-directed mutagenesis in accordance herewith is performed  
25 by first obtaining a single-stranded vector that includes within its sequence a DNA sequence that encodes the relevant chimeric VEGF receptor protein. An oligonucleotide primer bearing the desired mutated sequence is prepared, generally synthetically, for example, by the method of Crea et al., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA)*, 75, 5765 (1978). This  
30 primer is then annealed with the single-stranded chimeric protein-

sequence-containing vector, and subjected to DNA-polymerizing enzymes such as *E. coli* polymerase I Klenow fragment, to complete the synthesis of the mutation-bearing strand. Thus, a heteroduplex is formed wherein one strand encodes the original non-mutated sequence and the second  
5 strand bears the desired mutation. This heteroduplex vector is then used to transform appropriate cells such as JM101 cells and clones are selected that include recombinant vectors bearing the mutated sequence arrangement.

After such a clone is selected, the mutated DNA encoding the variant  
10 chimeric VEGF receptor protein may be removed and placed in an appropriate vector for protein production, generally an expression vector of the type that may be employed for transformation of an appropriate host.

### c. Types of Mutations

15 Amino acid sequence deletions generally range from about 1 to 15 residues, more preferably 1 to 7 residues, and typically are contiguous.

Amino acid sequence insertions include amino- and/or carboxyl-terminal fusions of from one residue to polypeptides of essentially unrestricted length, as well as intrasequence insertions of single or multiple amino acid  
20 residues. Intrasequence insertions (i.e., insertions within the Ig-like domain sequences) may range generally from about 1 to 10 residues, more preferably 1 to 5. An example of a terminal insertion includes a fusion of a signal sequence, whether heterologous or homologous to the host cell, to the N-terminus of the chimeric VEGF receptor protein to  
25 facilitate the secretion of the chimeric protein from recombinant hosts.

The third group of mutations which can be introduced into the Ig-like domain or domains present in the chimeric VEGF receptor protein are those in which at least one amino acid residue in the Ig-like domain or



domains, and preferably only one, has been removed and a different residue inserted in its place. Such substitutions preferably are made in accordance with the following Table 1 when it is desired to modulate finely the characteristics of the Ig-like domain or domains.

5

Table 1

<u>Original Residue</u>		<u>Exemplary Substitutions</u>
10	Ala (A)	gly; ser
	Arg (R)	lys
	Asn (N)	gln; his
	Asp (D)	glu
	Cys (C)	ser
15	Gln (Q)	asn
	Glu (E)	asp
	Gly (G)	ala; pro
	His (H)	asn; gln
	Ile (I)	leu; val
20	Leu (L)	ile; val
	Lys (K)	arg; gln; glu
	Met (M)	leu; tyr; ile
	Phe (F)	met; leu; tyr
	Ser (S)	thr
25	Thr (T)	ser
	Trp (W)	tyr
	Tyr (Y)	trp; phe
	Val (V)	ile; leu

Substantial changes in function or immunological identity are made by selecting substitutions that are less conservative than those in Table I, i.e., selecting residues that differ more significantly in their effect on maintaining (a) the structure of the polypeptide backbone in the area of  
 30 the substitution, for example, as a sheet or helical conformation, (b) the

-24-

charge or hydrophobicity of the molecule at the target site, or (c) the bulk of the side chain. The substitutions that in general are expected to produce the greatest changes in the properties of the Ig-like domains will be those in which (a) glycine and/or proline (P) is substituted by another amino acid or is deleted or inserted; (b) a hydrophilic residue, e.g., seryl or threonyl, is substituted for (or by) a hydrophobic residue, e.g., leucyl, isoleucyl, phenylalanyl, valyl, or alanyl; (c) a cysteine residue is substituted for (or by) any other residue; (d) a residue having an electropositive side chain, e.g., lysyl, arginyl, or histidyl, is substituted for (or by) a residue having an electronegative charge, e.g., glutamyl or aspartyl; (e) a residue having an electronegative side chain is substituted for (or by) a residue having an electropositive charge; or (f) a residue having a bulky side chain, e.g., phenylalanine, is substituted for (or by) one not having such a side chain, e.g., glycine.

15 Most deletions and insertions, and substitutions in particular, are not expected to produce radical changes in the characteristics of the Ig-like domain or domains of the chimeric VEGF receptor protein. However, when it is difficult to predict the exact effect of the substitution, deletion, or insertion in advance of doing so, one skilled in the art will appreciate that the effect will be evaluated by routine screening assays. For example, an Ig-like domain variant typically is made by site-specific mutagenesis of the nucleic acid encoding the intact chimeric VEGF receptor protein, expression of the variant nucleic acid in recombinant cell culture, purification of the variant chimeric VEGF receptor protein from the cell culture and detecting the ability of the variant chimeric VEGF receptor protein to specifically bind to a VEGF ligand. Binding assays which can be routinely employed to determine if a particular alteration or alterations in an Ig-like domain or domains affects the capability of the chimeric VEGF receptor protein to bind to and inhibit the activity of VEGF are described both in the Examples below and in the article by Park et al.,

*J. Biol. Chem.* 269:25646-25654 (1994) which is expressly incorporated by reference herein.

Thus, the activity of a variant chimeric VEGF receptor protein may be screened in a suitable screening assay for the desired characteristic. For example, a change in the ability to specifically bind to a VEGF ligand can be measured by a competitive-type VEGF binding assay. Modifications of such protein properties as redox or thermal stability, hydrophobicity, susceptibility to proteolytic degradation, or the tendency to aggregate with carriers or into multimers are assayed by methods well known to the ordinarily skilled artisan.

## 2. Recombinant Expression

The chimeric VEGF receptor proteins of the present invention are prepared by any technique, including by well known recombinant methods. Likewise, an isolated DNA is understood herein to mean chemically synthesized DNA, cDNA, chromosomal, or extrachromosomal DNA with or without the 3'- and/or 5'-flanking regions. Preferably, the desired chimeric VEGF receptor protein herein is made by synthesis in recombinant cell culture.

For such synthesis, it is first necessary to secure nucleic acid that encodes a chimeric VEGF receptor protein of the present invention. DNA encoding a flt-1 or KDR receptor may be obtained from vascular endothelial cells by (a) preparing a cDNA library from these cells, (b) conducting hybridization analysis with labeled DNA encoding the flt-1 or KDR receptor or fragments thereof (up to or more than 100 base pairs in length) to detect clones in the library containing homologous sequences, and (c) analyzing the clones by restriction enzyme analysis and nucleic acid sequencing to identify full-length clones. If full-length clones are not present in a cDNA library, then appropriate fragments may be recovered from the various clones using the nucleic acid and amino acid sequence

information known for the flt-1 and KDR receptors and ligated at restriction sites common to the clones to assemble a full-length clone encoding the flt-1 or KDR domain. Alternatively, genomic libraries may provide the desired DNA.

- 5 Once this DNA has been identified and isolated from the library, this DNA may be ligated into an appropriate expression vector operably connected to appropriate control sequences. Moreover, once cloned into an appropriate vector, the DNA can be altered in numerous ways as described above to produce functionally equivalent variants thereof.
- 10 Additionally, DNA encoding various domains, such as the intracellular, transmembrane and/or various Ig-like domains can be deleted and/or replaced by DNA encoding corresponding domains from other receptors. DNA encoding unrelated amino acid sequences, such as the F<sub>c</sub> portion of an immunoglobulin molecule, may also be fused to the DNA encoding
- 15 some or all of the VEGF receptor, thereby producing a chimeric VEGF receptor molecule.

- In one example of a recombinant expression system, an Ig-like domain containing chimeric VEGF receptor protein is expressed in mammalian cells by transformation with an expression vector comprising DNA
- 20 encoding the chimeric VEGF receptor protein. It is preferable to transform host cells capable of accomplishing such processing so as to obtain the chimeric protein in the culture medium or periplasm of the host cell, i.e., obtain a secreted molecule.

#### **a. Useful Host Cells and Vectors**

- 25 The vectors and methods disclosed herein are suitable for use in host cells over a wide range of prokaryotic and eukaryotic organisms.

In general, of course, prokaryotes are preferred for the initial cloning of DNA sequences and construction of the vectors useful in the invention.

For example, E. coli K12 strain MM 294 (ATCC No. 31,446) is particularly useful. Other microbial strains that may be used include E. coli strains such as E. coli B and E. coli X1776 (ATCC No. 31,537). These examples are, of course, intended to be illustrative rather than  
5 limiting.

Prokaryotes may also be used for expression. The aforementioned strains, as well as E. coli strains W3110 (F-, lambda-, prototrophic, ATCC No. 27,325), K5772 (ATCC No. 53,635), and SR101, bacilli such as Bacillus subtilis, and other enterobacteriaceae such as Salmonella  
10 typhimurium or Serratia marcesans, and various pseudomonas species, may be used.

In general, plasmid vectors containing replicon and control sequences that are derived from species compatible with the host cell are used in connection with these hosts. The vector ordinarily carries a replication  
15 site, as well as marking sequences that are capable of providing phenotypic selection in transformed cells. For example, E. coli is typically transformed using pBR322, a plasmid derived from an E. coli species (see, e.g., Bolivar et al., *Gene* 2, 95 [1977]). pBR322 contains genes for ampicillin and tetracycline resistance and thus provides easy means for  
20 identifying transformed cells. The pBR322 plasmid, or other microbial plasmid or phage, must also contain, or be modified to contain, promoters that can be used by the microbial organism for expression of its own proteins.

Those promoters most commonly used in recombinant DNA construction  
25 include the  $\beta$ -lactamase (penicillinase) and lactose promoter systems (Chang et al., *Nature*, 375, 615 [1978]; Itakura et al., *Science*, 198, 1056 [1977]; Goeddel et al., *Nature*, 281, 544 [1979]) and a tryptophan (trp) promoter system (Goeddel et al., *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 8, 4057 [1980]; EPO Appl. Publ. No. 0036,776). While these are the most

commonly used, other microbial promoters have been discovered and utilized, and details concerning their nucleotide sequences have been published, enabling a skilled worker to ligate them functionally with plasmid vectors (see, e.g., Siebenlist et al., *Cell*, 20, 269 [1980]).

- 5 In addition to prokaryotes, eukaryotic microbes, such as yeast cultures, may also be used. Saccharomyces cerevisiae, or common baker's yeast, is the most commonly used among eukaryotic microorganisms, although a number of other strains are commonly available. For expression in Saccharomyces, the plasmid YRp7, for example (Stinchcomb et al.,  
10 *Nature* 282, 39 [1979]; Kingsman et al., *Gene* 7, 141 [1979]; Tschemper et al., *Gene* 10, 157 [1980]), is commonly used. This plasmid already contains the trp1 gene that provides a selection marker for a mutant strain of yeast lacking the ability to grow in tryptophan, for example, ATCC No. 44,076 or PEP4-1 (Jones, *Genetics*, 85, 12 [1977]). The  
15 presence of the trp1 lesion as a characteristic of the yeast host cell genome then provides an effective environment for detecting transformation by growth in the absence of tryptophan.

- Suitable promoting sequences in yeast vectors include the promoters for 3-phosphoglycerate kinase (Hitzeman et al., *J. Biol. Chem.* 255, 2073  
20 [1980]) or other glycolytic enzymes (Hess et al., *J. Adv. Enzyme Reg.* 7, 149 [1968]; Holland et al., *Biochemistry* 17, 4900 [1978]), such as enolase, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, hexokinase, pyruvate decarboxylase, phosphofructokinase, glucose-6-phosphate isomerase, 3-phosphoglycerate mutase, pyruvate kinase, triosephosphate  
25 isomerase, phosphoglucose isomerase, and glucokinase. In constructing suitable expression plasmids, the termination sequences associated with these genes are also ligated into the expression vector 3' of the sequence desired to be expressed to provide polyadenylation of the mRNA and termination. Other promoters, which have the additional advantage of  
30 transcription controlled by growth conditions, are the promoter region for

alcohol dehydrogenase 2, isocytochrome C, acid phosphatase, degradative enzymes associated with nitrogen metabolism, and the aforementioned glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, and enzymes responsible for maltose and galactose utilization. Any plasmid  
5 vector containing yeast-compatible promoter, origin of replication and termination sequences is suitable.

In addition to microorganisms, cultures of cells derived from multicellular organisms may also be used as hosts. In principle, any such cell culture is workable, whether from vertebrate or invertebrate culture. However,  
10 interest has been greatest in vertebrate cells, and propagation of vertebrate cells in culture (tissue culture) has become a routine procedure in recent years [*Tissue Culture*, Academic Press, Kruse and Patterson, editors (1973)]. Examples of such useful host cell lines are VERO and HeLa cells, Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cell lines, and W138, BHK,  
15 COS-7, 293, and MDCK cell lines. Expression vectors for such cells ordinarily include (if necessary) an origin of replication, a promoter located in front of the gene to be expressed, along with any necessary ribosome binding sites, RNA splice sites, polyadenylation sites, and transcriptional terminator sequences.

20 For use in mammalian cells, the control functions on the expression vectors are often provided by viral material. For example, commonly used promoters are derived from polyoma, Adenovirus 2, and most frequently Simian Virus 40 (SV40). The early and late promoters of SV40 virus are particularly useful because both are obtained easily from the  
25 virus as a fragment that also contains the SV40 viral origin of replication [Fiers et al., *Nature*, 273, 113 (1978)]. Smaller or larger SV40 fragments may also be used, provided there is included the approximately 250-bp sequence extending from the HindIII site toward the BglI site located in the viral origin of replication. Further, it is also possible, and often  
30 desirable, to utilize promoter or control sequences normally associated

with the desired gene sequence, provided such control sequences are compatible with the host cell systems.

An origin of replication may be provided either by construction of the vector to include an exogenous origin, such as may be derived from SV40  
5 or other viral (e.g., Polyoma, Adeno, VSV, BPV) source, or may be provided by the host cell chromosomal replication mechanism. If the vector is integrated into the host cell chromosome, the latter is often sufficient.

Satisfactory amounts of protein are produced by cell cultures; however,  
10 refinements, using a secondary coding sequence, serve to enhance production levels even further. One secondary coding sequence comprises dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR) that is affected by an externally controlled parameter, such as methotrexate (MTX), thus permitting control of expression by control of the methotrexate  
15 concentration.

In selecting a preferred host cell for transfection by the vectors of the invention that comprise DNA sequences encoding both chimeric protein and DHFR protein, it is appropriate to select the host according to the type of DHFR protein employed. If wild-type DHFR protein is employed, it  
20 is preferable to select a host cell that is deficient in DHFR, thus permitting the use of the DHFR coding sequence as a marker for successful transfection in selective medium that lacks hypoxanthine, glycine, and thymidine. An appropriate host cell in this case is the Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cell line deficient in DHFR activity, prepared and propagated  
25 as described by Urlaub and Chasin, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA)* 77, 4216 (1980).

On the other hand, if DHFR protein with low binding affinity for MTX is used as the controlling sequence, it is not necessary to use DHFR-



d ficient cells. Because the mutant DHFR is resistant to methotrexate, MTX-containing media can be used as a means of selection provided that the host cells are themselves methotrexate sensitive. Most eukaryotic cells that are capable of absorbing MTX appear to be methotrexate sensitive. One such useful cell line is a CHO line, CHO-K1 (ATCC No. CCL 61).

**b. Typical Methodology Employable**

Construction of suitable vectors containing the desired coding and control sequences employs standard ligation techniques. Isolated plasmids or DNA fragments are cleaved, tailored, and religated in the form desired to prepare the plasmids required.

If blunt ends are required, the preparation may be treated for 15 minutes at 15°C with 10 units of Polymerase I (Klenow), phenol-chloroform extracted, and ethanol precipitated.

Size separation of the cleaved fragments may be performed using 6 percent polyacrylamide gel described by Goeddel et al., *Nucleic Acids Res.* 8, 4057 (1980).

For analysis to confirm correct sequences in plasmids constructed, the ligation mixtures are typically used to transform *E. coli* K12 strain 294 (ATCC 31,446) or other suitable *E. coli* strains, and successful transformants selected by ampicillin or tetracycline resistance where appropriate. Plasmids from the transformants are prepared and analyzed by restriction mapping and/or DNA sequencing by the method of Messing et al., *Nucleic Acids Res.* 9, 309 (1981) or by the method of Maxam et al., *Methods of Enzymology* 65, 499 (1980).

After introduction of the DNA into the mammalian cell host and selection in medium for stable transfectants, amplification of DHFR-protein-coding

- sequences is effected by growing host cell cultures in the presence of approximately 20,000-500,000 nM concentrations of methotrexate, a competitive inhibitor of DHFR activity. The effective range of concentration is highly dependent, of course, upon the nature of the DHFR gene and the characteristics of the host. Clearly, generally defined upper and lower limits cannot be ascertained. Suitable concentrations of other folic acid analogs or other compounds that inhibit DHFR could also be used. MTX itself is, however, convenient, readily available, and effective.
- 10 Other techniques employable are described in the Examples.

**c. VEGF Receptor-Immunoglobulin Chimeras  
(Immunoadhesins)**

- Immunoglobulins and certain variants thereof are known and may have been prepared in recombinant cell culture. For example, see U.S. Patent
- 15 No. 4,745,055; EP 256,654; Faulkner et al., *Nature* 298:286 (1982), EP 120,694, EP 125,023, Morrison, *J. Immunol.* 123:793 (1979); Kohler et al., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 77:2197 (1980); Raso et al., *Cancer Res.* 41:2073 (1981); Morrison, *Ann. Rev. Immunol.* 2:239 (1984); Morrison, *Science* 229:1202 (1985); Morrison et al., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*
- 20 81:6851 (1984); EP 255,694, EP 266,663; and WO 88/03559.
- Reassorted immunoglobulin chains are also known. See, for example, U.S. Patent No. 4,444,878; WO 88/03565; and EP 68,763 and references cited therein.

- Chimeras constructed from a protein receptor sequence linked to an
- 25 appropriate immunoglobulin constant domain sequence (immunoadhesins) are known in the art. Immunoadhesins reported in the literature include fusions of the T cell receptor (Gascoigne et al., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 84:2936-2940 [1987]), CD4 (Capon et al., *Nature* 337:525-531 [1989]), L-selectin (homing receptor) (Watson et al., *J. Cell. Biol.*

110:2221-2229 [1990]), CD44 (Aruffo et al., *Cell* 61:1303-1313 [1990]), CD28 and B7 (Linsley et al., *J. Exp. Med.* 173:721-730 [1991]), CTLA-4 (Linsley et al., *J. Exp. Med.* 174:561-569 [1991]), CD22 (Stamenkovic et al., *Cell* 66:1133-1144 [1991]), TNF receptor (Ashkenazi et al., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 88:10535-10539 [1991]) and IgE receptor alpha (Ridgway et al., *J. Cell. Biol.* 115:abstr. 1448 [1991]).

The simplest and most straightforward immunoadhesin design combined the binding region(s) of an "adhesin" protein with the Fc regions of an immunoglobulin heavy chain. Ordinarily, when preparing the chimeric VEGF receptors of the present invention having immunoglobulin sequences, nucleic acid encoding the Ig-like domains of the VEGF receptor(s) will be fused C-terminally to nucleic acid encoding the N-terminus of an immunoglobulin constant domain sequence, however, N-terminal fusions are also possible.

Typically, in such fusions, the encoded chimeric polypeptide will retain at least functionally active hinge, CH2 and CH3 domains of the constant region of an immunoglobulin heavy chain. Fusions are also made to the C-terminus of the Fc portion of a constant domain, or immediately N-terminal to the CH1 of the heavy chain or the corresponding region of the light chain.

The precise site at which the fusion is made is not critical; particular sites are well known and may be selected in order to optimize the biological activity, secretion or binding characteristics of the VEGF receptor/immunoglobulin chimera.

In some embodiments, the VEGF receptor/Ig chimeras of the present invention may be assembled as monomers, or hetero- or homo-multimers, and particularly as dimers and trimers, essentially as illustrated in WO 91/08298.

In a preferred embodiment, the VEGF receptor Ig-like domains of interest are fused to the N-terminus of the Fc domain of immunoglobulin G<sub>1</sub> (IgG-1). It is possible to fuse the entire heavy chain constant region to the VEGF receptor Ig-like domains of interest. However, more preferably, a  
5 sequence beginning in the hinge region just upstream of the papain cleavage site which defines Fc chemically, or analogous sites of other immunoglobulins are used in the fusion. In a particularly preferred embodiment, the Ig-like domains of the VEGF receptor of interest are fused to (a) the hinge region and CH2 and CH3 or (b) the CH1, hinge,  
10 CH2 and CH3 domains, of an IgG-1, IgG-2 or IgG-3 heavy chain. The precise site at which the fusion is made is not critical, and the optimal site can be determined by routine experimentation.

In some embodiments, the Ig-like domains VEGF receptor/immunoglobulin chimeras of the present invention are assembled as multimers, and  
15 particularly as homo-dimers or -tetramers. Generally, these assembled immunoglobulins will have known unit structures. A basic four chain structural unit is the form in which IgG, IgD, and IgE exist. A four chain unit is repeated in the higher molecular weight immunoglobulins; IgM generally exists as a pentamer of four basic units held together by  
20 disulfide bonds. IgA globulin, and occasionally IgG globulin, may also exist in multimeric form in serum.

Ig-like domain sequences from the VEGF receptors can also be inserted between immunoglobulin heavy and light chain sequences, such that an immunoglobulin comprising a chimeric heavy chain is obtained. In this  
25 embodiment, the VEGF receptor Ig-like sequences are fused to the 3' end of an immunoglobulin heavy chain in each arm of the immunoglobulin, either between the hinge and the CH2 domain, or between the CH2 and CH3 domains. Similar constructs have been reported by Hoogenboom, et al. *Mol. Immunol.* 28:1027-1037 (1991).

Although the presence of an immunoglobulin light chain is not required in the immuno adhesins of the present invention, an immunoglobulin light chain might be present either covalently associated to a VEGF receptor Ig-like domain-immunoglobulin heavy chain fusion polypeptide, or directly fused to the VEGF receptor Ig-like domains. In the former case, DNA encoding an immunoglobulin light chain is typically coexpressed with the VEGF receptor Ig-like domain-immunoglobulin heavy chain chimeric protein. Upon secretion, the hybrid heavy chain and light chain will be covalently associated to provide an immunoglobulin-like structure comprising two disulfide-linked immunoglobulin heavy chain-light chain pairs. Methods suitable for the preparation of such structures are, for example, disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 4,816,567, issued 28 March 1989.

In a preferred embodiment, the immunoglobulin sequences used in the construction of the immuno adhesins of the present invention are from an IgG immunoglobulin heavy chain domain. For human immuno adhesins, the use of human IgG1 and IgG3 immunoglobulin sequences is preferred. A major advantage of using the IgG1 is that IgG1 immuno adhesins can be purified efficiently on immobilized protein A. However, other structural and functional properties should be taken into account when choosing the Ig fusion partner for a particular immuno adhesin construction. For example, the IgG3 hinge is longer and more flexible, so that it can accommodate larger "adhesin" domains that may not fold or function properly when fused to IgG1. Another consideration may be valency; IgG immuno adhesins are bivalent homodimers, whereas Ig subtypes like IgA and IgM may give rise to dimeric or pentameric structures, respectively, of the basic Ig homodimer unit. For VEGF receptor Ig-like domain/immunoglobulin chimeras designed for *in vivo* applications, the pharmacokinetic properties and the effector functions specified by the Fc region are important as well. Although IgG1, IgG2 and IgG4 all have *in vivo* half-lives of 21 days, their relative potencies at activating the

complement system are different. Moreover, various immunoglobulins possess varying numbers of allotypic isotypes.

- The general methods suitable for the construction and expression of immunoadhesins are the same as those described herein above with
- 5 regard to (native or variant) Ig-like domains of the various VEGF receptors. Chimeric immunoadhesins of the present invention are most conveniently constructed by fusing the cDNA sequence encoding the VEGF receptor Ig-like domain(s) of interest in-frame to an Ig cDNA sequence. However, fusion to genomic Ig fragments can also be used
- 10 (see, e.g., Gascoigne et al., supra). The latter type of fusion requires the presence of Ig regulatory sequences for expression. cDNAs encoding IgG heavy chain constant regions can be isolated based on published sequences from cDNA libraries derived from spleen or peripheral blood lymphocytes, by hybridization or by polymerase chain reaction (PCR)
- 15 techniques. The cDNAs encoding the "adhesin" derived from the VEGF receptor Ig-like domain(s) and the Ig parts of the chimera are inserted in tandem into a plasmid vector that directs efficient expression in the chosen host cells. The exact junction can be created by removing the extra sequences between the designed junction codons using
- 20 oligonucleotide-directed deletional mutagenesis (Zoller and Smith, *Nucl. Acids Res.* 10:6487 (1982)). Synthetic oligonucleotides can be used, in which each half is complementary to the sequence on either side of the desired junction. Alternatively, PCR techniques can be used to join the two parts of the molecule in-frame with an appropriate vector.
- 25 The chimeric immunoadhesins of the present invention can be purified by various well known methods including affinity chromatography on protein A or G, thiophilic gel chromatography (Hutchens et al., *Anal. Biochem.* 159:217-226 [1986]) and immobilized metal chelate chromatography (Al-Mashikhi et al., *J. Dairy Sci.* 71:1756-1763 [1988]).

**d. Therapeutic Uses and Formulations**

For therapeutic applications, the chimeric VEGF receptor proteins of the present invention are administered to a mammal, preferably a human, in a pharmaceutically acceptable dosage form, including those that may be  
5 administered to a human intravenously as a bolus or by continuous infusion over a period of time, by intramuscular, intraperitoneal, intracerebrospinal, subcutaneous, intra-arterial, intrasynovial, intrathecal, oral, topical, or inhalation routes. The chimeric VEGF receptor proteins of the present invention are also suitably administered by intratumoral,  
10 peritumoral, intralesional or perilesional routes, to exert local as well as systemic effects. The intraperitoneal route is expected to be particularly useful, for example, in the treatment of ovarian tumors.

Such dosage forms encompass pharmaceutically acceptable carriers that are inherently nontoxic and nontherapeutic. Examples of such carriers  
15 include ion exchangers, alumina, aluminum stearate, lecithin, serum proteins, such as human serum albumin, buffer substances such as phosphates, glycine, sorbic acid, potassium sorbate, partial glyceride mixtures of saturated vegetable fatty acids, water, salts, or electrolytes such as protamine sulfate, disodium hydrogen phosphate, potassium  
20 hydrogen phosphate, sodium chloride, zinc salts, colloidal silica, magnesium trisilicate, polyvinyl pyrrolidone, cellulose-based substances, and polyethylene glycol. Carriers for topical or gel-based forms of chimeric protein include polysaccharides such as sodium carboxymethylcellulose or methylcellulose, polyvinylpyrrolidone,  
25 polyacrylates, polyoxyethylene-polyoxypropylene-block polymers, polyethylene glycol and wood wax alcohols. For all administrations, conventional depot forms are suitably used. Such forms include, for example, microcapsules, nano-capsules, liposomes, plasters, inhalation forms, nose sprays, sublingual tablets, and sustained release  
30 preparations. For examples of sustained release compositions, see U.S. Patent No. 3,773,919, EP 58,481A, U.S. Patent No. 3,887,699, EP

158,277A, Canadian Patent No. 1176565, U. Sidman et al., *Biopolymers* 22:547 (1983) and R. Langer et al., *Chem. Tech.* 12:98 (1982). The chimeric protein will usually be formulated in such vehicles at a concentration of about 0.1 mg/ml to 100 mg/ml.

- 5    Optionally other ingredients may be added to pharmaceutical formulations of the chimeric VEGF receptor proteins of the present invention such as antioxidants, e.g., ascorbic acid; low molecular weight (less than about ten residues) polypeptides, e.g., polyarginine or tripeptides; proteins, such as serum albumin, gelatin, or immunoglobulins; hydrophilic polymers such
- 10   as polyvinylpyrrolidone; amino acids, such as glycine, glutamic acid, aspartic acid, or arginine; monosaccharides, disaccharides, and other carbohydrates including cellulose or its derivatives, glucose, mannose, or dextrans; chelating agents such as EDTA; and sugar alcohols such as mannitol or sorbitol.
- 15   The chimeric VEGF receptor protein formulation to be used for therapeutic administration must be sterile. Sterility is readily accomplished by filtration through sterile filtration membranes (e.g., 0.2 micron membranes). The chimeric VEGF receptor protein ordinarily will be stored in lyophilized form or as an aqueous solution if it is highly stable to
- 20   thermal and oxidative denaturation. The pH of the chimeric VEGF receptor protein preparations typically will be about from 6 to 8, although higher or lower pH values may also be appropriate in certain instances.

For the prevention or treatment of disease, the appropriate dosage of chimeric VEGF receptor protein will depend upon the type of disease to

25   be treated, the severity and course of the disease, whether the chimeric VEGF receptor proteins are administered for preventative or therapeutic purposes, previous therapy, the patient's clinical history and response to the chimeric VEGF receptor protein and the discretion of the attending physician. The chimeric VEGF receptor protein is suitable administered to



the patient at one time or over a series of treatments. For purposes herein, the "therapeutically effective amount" of a chimeric VEGF receptor protein is an amount that is effective to either prevent, lessen the worsening of, alleviate, or cure the treated condition, in particular that  
5 amount which is sufficient to reduce or inhibit the proliferation of vascular endothelium *in vivo*.

The chimeric VEGF receptor proteins of the present invention are useful in the treatment of various neoplastic and non-neoplastic diseases and disorders. Neoplasms and related conditions that are amenable to  
10 treatment include carcinomas of the breast, lung, esophagus, gastric anatomy, colon, rectum, liver, ovary, cervix, endometrium, thecomas, arrhenoblastomas, endometrial hyperplasia, endometriosis, fibrosarcomas, choriocarcinoma, head and neck cancer, nasopharyngeal carcinoma, laryngeal carcinoma, hepatoblastoma, Kaposi's sarcoma, melanoma, skin  
15 carcinomas, hemangioma, cavernous hemangioma, hemangioblastoma, pancreas carcinoma, retinoblastoma, astrocytoma, glioblastoma, Schwannoma, oligodendroglioma, medulloblastoma, neuroblastomas, rhabdomyosarcoma, osteogenic sarcoma, leiomyosarcomas, urinary tract carcinomas, thyroid carcinomas, Wilm's tumor, renal cell carcinoma,  
20 prostate carcinoma, abnormal vascular proliferation associated with phakomatoses, edema (such as associated with brain tumors), and Meigs' syndrome.

Non-neoplastic conditions that are amenable to treatment include rheumatoid arthritis, psoriasis, atherosclerosis, diabetic and other  
25 retinopathies, retrolental fibroplasia, neovascular glaucoma, age-related macular degeneration, thyroid hyperplasias (including grave's disease), corneal and other tissue transplantation, chronic inflammation, lung inflammation, nephrotic syndrome, preclampsia, ascites, pericardial effusion (such as associated with pericarditis) and pleural effusion.

The following examples are intended merely to illustrate the best mode now known for practicing the invention but the invention is not to be considered as limited to the details of such examples.

**EXAMPLE I - Construction and Analysis of the flt-1 Extracellular Domain**

**5 /IgG F<sub>c</sub> Chimera (flt-1/IgG) and Deletion Constructs Thereof**

An expression construct consisting of the native flt-1 extracellular ligand-binding region, having seven Ig-like domains, fused to the F<sub>c</sub> portion of human IgG<sub>1</sub>, was constructed essentially as described by Park et al., *J. Biol. Chem.* 269:25646-25654 (1994) which is expressly incorporated  
10 herein by reference. Specifically, the extracellular ligand-binding region of the flt-1 receptor was cloned by the polymerase chain reaction using Pfu polymerase. Human placental cDNA served as the template. Primers encompassed the entire extracellular ligand-binding region of the cDNA encoding the flt-1 extracellular ligand-binding region, including signal  
15 peptides. Shibuya et al., *Oncogene* 5:519-524 (1990) and deVries et al., *Science* 255:989-991 (1992). The cDNA for the flt-1 extracellular ligand-binding region was cloned in two pieces to facilitate sequencing. Two sets of primers (shown below) were used, and the resulting band (approximately 1 kilobase in size) was digested with the appropriate  
20 enzymes and subcloned into pBluescript II or pSL301. The cDNA produced encoded the first 758 amino acids of the flt-1 receptor. Full-length flt-1 extracellular ligand-binding region cDNA was created by ligating the two flt-1 polymerase chain reaction clones at a unique natural *Mun* I restriction site.

**25 flt-1 primer set #1:**

5' TCTAGAGAATTCCATGGTCAGCTACTGGGACACC 3'

5' CCAGGTCATTTGAACTCTCGTGTTTC 3'

flt-1 primer set #2:

5' TACTTAGAGGCCATACTCTTGTCT 3'

5' GGATCCTTCGAAATTAGACTTGTCCGAGGTTC 3'

These primers changed amino acid 757 of the flt-1 extracellular ligand-binding region to phenylalanine and introduced a *Bst* *BI* site at the 3' end thereof. A *Bst* *BI* mutation which eliminated any linker sequences was introduced at the 5' end of CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>, an IgG $\gamma$ 1 heavy chain cDNA clone. Capon, et al., *Nature* 337:525-531 (1989). The flt-1 extracellular ligand-binding region sequences were then fused to the coding sequences for amino acids 216-443 of this IgG $\gamma$ 1 heavy chain clone via the unique *Bst* *BI* site at the 3' end of the flt-1 extracellular ligand-binding region coding region. This construct was then subcloned into the plasmid pHEBO23 for expression in CEN4 cells as described by Park et al., *Mol. Biol. Cell.* 4:1317-1326 (1993). The authenticity of the clone was verified by DNA sequencing. This resulted in the successful construction of the chimera "flt-1/IgG" wherein the native extracellular ligand-binding region of the flt-1 receptor is fused to the F<sub>c</sub> portion of human IgG.

The amino acid sequences of the extracellular ligand-binding region of the flt-1, KDR and FLT4 receptors were then aligned using the sequence analysis program "align" and the boundaries of each of the seven Ig-like domains present in the extracellular ligand-binding regions of the flt-1, KDR and FLT4 receptors were determined by structural and sequence considerations. Figure 1 presents the alignment of the extracellular ligand-binding regions of the flt-1, KDR and FLT4 receptors.

Once the boundaries of the seven Ig-like domains in the extracellular ligand-binding regions of the flt-1, KDR and FLT4 receptors were defined as shown in Figure 1, the flt-1/IgG construct prepared above was utilized as a template to systematically delete each of the seven individual Ig-like domains of the flt-1 extracellular ligand-binding region by employing the

"loop-out" mutagenesis technique previously described by Urfer et al., *EMBO J.* 14:2795-2805 (1995). See also Kunkle, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 82:488-492 (1985). Specifically, by utilizing oligonucleotide-directed mutagenesis, oligonucleotides were designed to "loop-out" a  
5 single Ig-like domain from the flt-1/IgG construct while also creating unique restriction sites at the boundaries of each of the seven Ig-like domains to be used for inserting other Ig-like domains obtained from other VEGF receptor extracellular ligand-binding regions for the purpose of creating other chimeric VEGF receptor molecules (see below). Figure 2  
10 presents the oligonucleotides used in generating Ig-like domain deletions from the flt-1/IgG construct and the restriction sites created as such. These experiments resulted in obtaining seven additional flt-1/IgG constructs, each having one of the seven different Ig-like domains deleted out.

15 To create the flt-1 Ig-like domain 1 deletion, the oligonucleotide 5'-AAAA TTAAAAGATCCAGATCTGACTATCTATATATTTATTAGTGATACCGGTAG ACCTTTT-3' was used to "loop-out" amino acids 36-123 and to introduce a Bgl II site and an Age I site at the 5'- and 3'-ends of the domain 1 deletion, respectively (see Figure 2). Creation of the Bgl II site  
20 changed amino acid E33 to D.

The oligonucleotide used to create the flt-1 Ig-like domain 2 deletion was 5'-GAAGGAAACAGAAGGCGCCATCTATATATTTATTTCGAGGTACCAATA CAATCATAG-3', effectively removing amino acids S129 through H223. The creation of Kas I and Kpn I restriction sites caused amino acid  
25 changes S122 to G and Q225 to G to occur, respectively.

Deletion of the third Ig-like domain removed amino acids N227 through S325 using the oligonucleotide 5'-CAAACATCTCACACATAGATCTACC GTGCATATATATGATACCGGTITTCATCACTGTGAAAC-3'. Amino acids

Q225, K331 and A332 were changed to S, T and G, respectively to accommodate for the insertion of Bgl II and Age I restriction sites.

The oligonucleotide 5'-GTTAACACCTCAGTGCACGIGTATGATGTCAATG  
TGAAACCCCAGATCTACGAAAAGGCCGTGTC-3' was used to loop-out  
5 amino acids K331 through I423 (flt-1 Ig-like domain 4 deletion). The amino acid change resulting from the generation of a Bbr PI restriction site was I328 to V. Constituting a Bgl II restriction site at the 3' end of Ig-like domain 4 did not alter any amino acids.

Deleting Ig-like domain 5 amino acids K427 through S549 was achieved  
10 utilizing the oligonucleotide 5'-AAACCTCACTGCCACGCTAGCTGTCAATG  
TGTTTTATATCACAGATCTGCCAAATGGGTTTCAT-3'. Devising an Nhe I restriction site at the 5' end mutated I423 to A; amino acid V555 was substituted by L during the insertion of the Bgl II site in the 3' end.

To generate the Ig-like domain 6 deletion mutant, the oligonucleotide 5'-G  
15 TGGGAAGAAACATAAGCTTTGTATACATTACAATCAGATCTCAGGAAGC  
ACCATAC-3' excised the amino acids T553 through E652. Generating the Bst 1107I restriction site at the 5' end changed amino acids Y551 and I552 to V and Y, respectively; amino acid D657 was substituted by S during the formation of the Bgl II restriction site at the 3' end.

20 The last flt-1 Ig-like domain to be deleted, domain 7, removed amino acids Q658 through Y745 while adding restriction site Bsi WI and Kpn I 5' and 3', respectively. The oligonucleotide, 5'-CCAGAAGAAAGAA  
ATTACCGTACGAGATCTCACTGTTCAAGGTACCTCGGACAAGTCTAAT-  
3', did cause an amino acid substitution at I655 into V.

25 Following construction of the flt-1/IgG construct and the Ig-like domain deletion constructs based on flt-1/IgG, the constructs were independently transformed into *E. coli* strain XL-1 Blue using techniques well known in

the art. Following transformation of the constructs into *E. coli* strain XL-1 Blue, colonies were tested via restriction digestion for the presence of the newly created restriction sites shown in Figure 2 and subsequently the entire coding region of each construct was sequenced using the

5 Sequenase version 2.0 kit (US Biochemical Corp.). Double-stranded DNA for each selected clone was prepared using the QIAGEN DNA purification kit (Qiagen Inc.) and was used for transfection into CEN4 cells.

Plasmid DNA coding for the native flt-1/IgG protein or the flt-1/IgG domain deletions was introduced into CEN4 cells by calcium phosphate

10 precipitation (Current protocols in Molecular Biology). CEN4 cells are a derivative of the human embryonic kidney 293 cell line that expresses the Epstein-Barr virus nuclear antigen-1, required for episomal replication of the pHEBO23 vector upon which the flt-1/IgG construct is based. Su et al., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 88:10870-10874 (1991). Ten  $\mu$ g of

15 plasmid DNA was used for transfection of a single 80% confluent 10 mm cell culture dish. Forty-eight hours post-transfection, the media containing the soluble chimeric VEGF receptors was collected and the concentration of protein produced was determined by ELISA assays designed to detect the  $F_c$  portion of the chimeric protein.

20 **EXAMPLE 2 - Binding Assays for Detecting Binding to the VEGF Ligand**  
Binding assays with the soluble chimeric VEGF receptors generated in Example 1 above were performed essentially as described by Park et al., *J. Biol. Chem.* 269:25646-25654 (1994). Specifically, binding assays were performed in ninety-six-well breakaway immunoabsorbent assay

25 plates (Nunc) coated overnight at 4°C with 2  $\mu$ g/ml affinity-purified goat anti-human  $F_c$  IgG (Organon-Teknika) in 50 mM  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$ , pH 9.6. Plates were blocked for 1 hr with 10% fetal bovine serum in PBS (buffer B). After removal of the blocking buffer, 100  $\mu$ l of a binding cocktail was added to each well. Binding cocktails consisted of a given amount of an

30 flt-1/IgG chimeric protein,  $^{125}\text{I}$ -VEGF<sub>165</sub> (<9000 cpm/well), plus or minus

50 ng of unlabeled VEGF competitor where indicated, all within buffer B for a final volume of 100  $\mu$ l; the cocktails were assembled and allowed to equilibrate overnight at 4°C. VEGF<sub>165</sub> was iodinated by the chloramine T method as previously described by Keyt et al., *J. Biol. Chem.* 271:5638-5646 (1996). The specific activity of the iodinated VEGF was  $5.69 \times 10^7$  cpm/microgram. Incubation in the coated wells proceeded for 4 hrs at room temperature, followed by 4 washes with buffer B. Binding was determined by counting individual wells in a gamma counter. Data was analyzed using a 4-parameter non-linear curve fitting program (Kalidagraph, Abelbeck Software).

The results of the binding assays employing the intact flt-1/IgG chimeric protein and the seven flt-1/IgG Ig-like deletion chimeric proteins are presented in Figure 3. As shown in Figure 3, of all of the chimeric proteins tested, only the chimeric protein lacking the Ig-like domain 2 was unable to bind the VEGF ligand specifically. All of the other six flt-1/IgG deletion chimeras tested, as well as the intact flt-1/IgG chimera, retained the ability to bind the VEGF ligand specifically. These results demonstrate that the Ig-like domain 2 of the flt-1 extracellular ligand-binding region is required for specific binding to the VEGF ligand.

These results lead to the cloning, expression and testing of various other flt-1/IgG deletion chimeric constructs. Specific Ig-like domain/IgG constructs were created by amplifying the specific Ig-like domains desired, using PCR primers containing restrictions sites (Cla I and Bst BI, 5' and 3', respectively) which provided the in-frame sites to clone into the 5' end of the IgG1 heavy chain cDNA plasmid (see Capon et al., *Nature* 337:525-531 (1989)). This resulted in the construction of an flt-1/IgG deletion construct having only Ig-like domains 1 and 2 of the flt-1 extracellular ligand-binding region fused to the F<sub>c</sub> of IgG [flt(1,2)]. For the construction of flt(1,2), amino acids M1 through Q224 were amplified using oligonucleotides

5'-CAGGTCAATCATCGAIGGTCAGCTACTGGGACACC-3' (Flt.sp.Cla I)  
and 5'-GGTCAACTATTCGAATTGTCGATGTGTGAGATAG-3' (Flt.2C.Bst  
BI).

Other flt-1/IgG deletion chimeras were also similarly prepared and  
5 contained the combination of Ig-like domain 2 only [flt(2)], Ig-like domains  
2 and 3 only [flt(2,3)] and Ig-like domains 1, 2 and 3 only [flt(1,2,3)].  
The same two oligonucleotides used to crease flt(1,2) were also used to  
create flt(2) from a construct lacking Ig-like domain 1. Flt(2,3) was  
generated by amplifying a construct lacking Ig-like domain 1 with the  
10 Flt.sp.Cla I oligonucleotide and another oligonucleotide  
5'-GGTCAACTATTTCGAATATATGCACTGAGGTGTTAAC-3' (Flt.3C.Bst  
BI) which includes the coding sequence through I328. Amplifying flt-1 Ig-  
like domains 1 through 3 was accomplished using primers Flt.sp.Cla I and  
Flt.3C.Bst BI on a construct having all three Ig-like domains. The entire  
15 domain-IgG coding sequence was then subcloned into pHEBO23 at the  
Cla I and Not I sites.

All of these flt-1/IgG chimera constructs were cloned, expressed and  
tested for their ability to specifically bind to VEGF as described above in  
Examples 1 and 2. As with the other flt-1/IgG constructs, all of these flt-  
20 1/IgG deletion constructs were sequenced, transfected into CEN4 cells  
and the expressed protein quantitated by F<sub>c</sub> ELISA. The results of the  
VEGF binding assays with the flt-1/IgG domain deletion chimeras is  
presented in Figure 4.

The results presented in Figure 4 demonstrate that flt-1 Ig-like domain 2  
25 by itself is insufficient to allow binding of the VEGF ligand. Ig-like domain  
1 in combination with domain 2 was also not sufficient to allow binding  
of the VEGF ligand. A small amount of VEGF-binding could be detected  
when Ig-like d mains 2 and 3 were present in combination, but the extent  
and affinity of this binding needs to be further analyzed. In contrast,



however, the ability to bind the VEGF ligand was completely restored when Ig-like domains 1, 2 and 3 were all three present in combination. These results, therefore, demonstrate that the combination of flt-1 Ig-like domains 1, 2 and 3 is sufficient for VEGF binding.

- 5 Next, increasing amounts of unlabeled VEGF ligand was used to titrate  $^{125}\text{I}$ -VEGF<sub>165</sub> binding to 1ng of immunoreactive flt-1/IgG or flt(1,2,3). Binding assays were performed essentially as described above. The results from these experiments are presented in Figure 5. Using the 4 P logistic curve fit:  $[(m1 - m4)/(1 + (m0/m3)^{-m2})] + m4$ , the value of m3 equals the concentration resulting in 50% inhibition (IC<sub>50</sub>). This occurs at  
10 the point of inflection of the curve. As is shown in Figure 5, the IC<sub>50</sub> for the intact flt-1/IgG chimeric protein and flt(1,2,3) deletion chimera is similar at 1.89ng/ml and 1.34ng/ml, respectively. Thus, the flt(1,2,3) deletion chimera behaves in a similar fashion to the intact flt-1/IgG  
15 chimeric protein with respect to binding to the VEGF ligand.

**EXAMPLE 3 - Binding Assays for Detecting Binding by "Swap" Chimeras to the VEGF Ligand**

- As shown in Figure 1, the boundaries for each of the seven Ig-like domains present within the extracellular ligand-binding regions of the flt-  
20 1, KDR and FLT4 receptors were determined. Based on this information, various "swap" chimeras were prepared where one or more of the Ig-like domains from the flt-1/IgG construct were replaced with the same Ig-like domains from either the KDR or FLT4 receptor. In order to construct these "swap" chimeras, the desired domain fragment from either the KDR  
25 or FLT4 receptor was amplified using PCR primers which contained the same flanking restriction sites in frame as were created during the construction of the intact flt-1/IgG construct described above. Cleaving both the intact flt-1/IgG construct and the PCR fragment obtained from the amplification of the KDR or FLT4 receptor DNA with the restriction  
30 enzymes and subsequent ligation of the resulting fragments yielded

-48-

constructs coding for the desired "swap" chimeras. All chimeric constructs produced were sequenced to confirm their authenticity.

In one experiment, the Ig-like domain 2 of either the KDR or FLT4 receptor was "swapped" for the Ig-like domain 2 of the flt-1/IgG construct to produce "swap" chimeras having flt-1 Ig-like domains 1 and 3-7 in combination with Ig-like domain 2 from either KDR (flt.K2) or FLT4 (fltF4.2). As before, both "swap" constructs were sequenced prior to transfection into and expression in CEN4 cells and the "swap" chimeras produced by the CEN4 cells were subjected to F<sub>c</sub> ELISA. The chimeric proteins were then tested as described above for their ability to specifically bind to <sup>125</sup>I-VEGF<sub>165</sub>. The results are presented in Figure 6.

The results presented in Figure 6 demonstrate that replacing the flt-1 Ig-like domain 2 with the Ig-like domain 2 of the KDR receptor functions to re-establish the ability to specifically bind to the VEGF ligand whereas the presence of FLT4 Ig-like domain 2 did not re-establish the ability to specifically bind to the VEGF ligand. Since it is known that native FLT4 receptor does not bind to the VEGF ligand and since the KDR receptor does interact with this ligand, these results demonstrate that Ig-like domain 2 is the primary domain responsible for VEGF binding. Expectedly, the native flt-1/IgG chimera specifically bound to the VEGF ligand whereas the flt-1/IgG chimera lacking an Ig-like domain 2 did not specifically bind to the VEGF ligand.

Next, experiments were performed to determine if the specificity for binding to the VEGF ligand resides in the Ig-like domain 2 of the flt-1 and KDR receptors. Specifically, it is well known that placenta growth factor (PLGF) is capable of binding to the extracellular ligand-binding region of the flt-1 receptor but does not bind to the extracellular ligand-binding regions of either the KDR or FLT4 receptors. Thus, binding of PLGF can compete with binding of the VEGF ligand to the flt-1 receptor.

Based on this information, a competition against VEGF binding was performed using a series of "swap" mutants that consisted of the flt-1/IgG chimeric protein wherein various Ig-like domains thereof were replaced with the same Ig-like domains from the KDR receptor. Specifically, "swap" chimeras were constructed as described above wherein either Ig-like domain 1, 2, 3, 5 or 7 of the flt-1/IgG chimera was replaced by the corresponding Ig-like domain from the KDR receptor. Competition binding assays were performed as described above wherein competitors consisted of 50 ng of unlabeled VEGF or 50 ng of unlabeled PLGF. The results of these competition binding assays are presented in Figure 7.

The results presented in Figure 7 demonstrate that only when the Ig-like domain 2 of the flt-1 receptor is replaced with the Ig-like domain 2 of the KDR receptor is the VEGF interaction more like wild type KDR than wild type flt-1. Each of the other "swap" chimeras constructed behaved similar to the wild type flt-1 receptor. Moreover, when the Ig-like domain 2 of the flt-1/IgG chimeric protein was replaced by the Ig-like domain 2 of the FLT4 receptor, the resulting chimeric protein exhibited the binding specificity of the intact FLT4 receptor (data not shown). These results demonstrate, therefore, that the Ig-like domain 2 of the flt-1 and KDR receptors is the major determinant of ligand specificity.

Next, an expression construct encoding the entire human FLT4 receptor, including the extracellular domain, transmembrane region and intracellular tyrosine kinase domain (Lee et al., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 93:1988-1992 (1996)) was used to create various other chimeric receptors. The construct encoding the entire FLT4 receptor was then subjected to oligo-directed mutagenesis as described above to create in-frame restriction sites located at the beginning of the Ig-like domain 1 of the FLT4 extracellular ligand-binding region (Afl II), the end of domain 1/beginning of domain 2 (Nhe I), the end of domain 2/beginning of domain 3 (Bsi WI), and the end of domain 3 (Mlu I). The following flt-1

Ig-like domain combinations were then amplified essentially as described above using PCR primers that possessed the same in-frame restriction sites: domain 2 alone and domains 1-3 alone. Cloning the flt-1 PCR products into the mutagenized FLT4 encoding construct resulted in  
5 flt-1/FLT4 chimeric receptor constructs. Specifically, constructs were prepared which possessed the entire FLT4 receptor sequences except that the FLT4 Ig-like domains 1-3 were replaced with the Ig-like domains 1-3 of the flt-1 receptor (construct flt-1(1,2,3)/FLT4) or that the FLT4 Ig-like domain 2 was replaced with the Ig-like domain 2 of the flt-1 receptor  
10 (construct flt-1(2)/FLT4). For flt-1(1,2,3)/FLT4, FLT4 sequence encoding amino acids N33 through E324 was replaced by flt-1 sequences encoding S35 through S325. Creation of the cloning sites resulted in a change of I325 of FLT4 to R. For flt-1(2)/FLT4, FLT4 sequence encoding S128 through I224 was replaced by flt-1 sequence encoding I124 through  
15 R224. This also changes FLT4 amino acids N33 and I326 to S and R, respectively and added T36. Sequencing confirmed the authenticity of these chimeras. Figures 8, 9 and 10 show the entire amino acid sequences of the intact FLT4 receptor, the entire amino acid sequence of the chimeric receptor encoded by the flt-1(1,2,3)/FLT4 construct and the  
20 entire amino acid sequence of the chimeric receptor encoded by the flt-1(2)/FLT4 construct, respectively.

After preparation of these expression constructs, 293 cells were transfected with the constructs via DEAE-Dextran and transiently-expressing cells were analyzed for the ability to bind to the  
25 VEGF ligand. To detect binding of the VEGF ligand, a saturation binding assay was performed on transiently-expressing 293 cells expressing the intact FLT4 receptor, the flt-1 domain 2/FLT4 chimeric receptor, the flt-1 domains 1-3/FLT4 chimeric receptor, or the intact flt-1 receptor. Specifically,  $2.5 \times 10^5$  cells were incubated with increasing amounts of  
30  $^{125}\text{I}$ -VEGF (specific activity of  $56.9 \times 10^6$  cpm/ $\mu\text{g}$ ) in a final volume of 0.2 ml of buffer C (50/50 media with 0.1% BSA and 25 mM HEPES pH 7.3)

-51-

for 4 hrs at 4°C with slight agitation. The cell mixture was then layered over a 0.75 ml cushion of 30% sucrose, centrifuged for 10 minutes at maximum speed, and the pellet was recovered and counted in a gamma counter. Because 293 cells possess some flt-1-like VEGF binding, non-transfected cells were also used and the background counts were subtracted out from the counts recovered for the transfected cells. The amount of counts added and the recovered counts bound were then subjected to scatchard analysis.

The results of these experiments demonstrated that, as expected, the cells expressing the intact FLT4 receptor did not specifically bind the VEGF ligand. However, cells expressing the flt-1 domain 2/FLT4 chimeric receptor or the flt-1 domains 1-3/FLT4 chimeric receptor did specifically bind the VEGF ligand specifically and tightly. The Kds are approximately 10.2 pM +/- 1.1 pM and 10.4 pM +/- 3.4 pM, respectively, for the flt-1 domain 2/FLT4 chimeric receptor and the flt-1 domains 1-3/FLT4 chimeric receptor. These values are near the range reported for the intact full-length flt-1 receptor.

Experiments were also performed to measure the amount of tyrosine phosphorylation in 293 cells transiently expressing these chimeric receptors 60-72 hours post-transfection. Tyrosine phosphorylation assays were performed essentially as described in Park et al., *J. Biol. Chem.* 269:25646-25654 (1994). The transiently expressing 293 cells were deprived of serum 16-18 hrs prior to stimulation by a given factor. Cells were stimulated with FLT4 ligand (VH1.4.5; VEGF-C/VRP) at a concentration of 400 ng/ml, 50 ng/ml VEGF, or 0.5 nM PLGF for 15 minutes at 37°C. Following removal of the stimulation media, the cells were twice washed with ice-cold PBS and then lysed in 1ml lysis buffer. The lysate was cleared of cellular debris and the receptors were immunoprecipitated using JTL.1, a polyclonal antibody directed against the extracellular domain of the FLT4 receptor (see Lee et. al., *Proc. Natl.*

*Acad. Sci USA*, 93:1988-1992 (1996)). The immunoprecipitates were then subjected to western gel/blot analysis using the 4G10 anti-phosphotyrosine monoclonal antibody (UBI, Lake Placid, NY). Immunoreactive bands were visualized with an ABC kit according to  
5 manufacturers directions (Vector Laboratories).

To establish stable cell lines, each of the chimeric constructs was co-transfected with a plasmid containing the neomycin resistance gene via calcium phosphate precipitation into NIH 3T3 cells. Clones proliferating in the presence of G418 were screened for their ability to bind to VEGF.  
10 Clones expressing either the flt-1(1,2,3)/FLT4 or flt-1(2)/FLT4 chimera were analyzed in a cell binding assay to determine the Kd for VEGF by titrating a trace amount of  $^{125}\text{I}$ -VEGF<sub>165</sub> (approx. 5000 cpm/ml final) with increasing amounts of cold VEGF<sub>165</sub>. First the adherent cells were washed with cold binding buffer C (DMEM/F12 media with 0.2% BSA  
15 and 25 mM HEPES, pH 7.4), then  $^{125}\text{I}$ -VEGF<sub>165</sub> and the cold competitor, each in 0.5 mls buffer C, were added simultaneously. The cells were then placed at 4°C for 4 hours. After aspirating off the binding buffer, the cells were washed with cold PBS and then twice with cold PBS containing 2M NaCl. Finally, the cells were lysed with 0.25M NaOH and  
20 the entire lysate was counted in a gamma counter. Results were analyzed and the Kds calculated using the Scatchard analysis program New Ligand (Genentech, Inc.).

NIH 3T3 cells stably expressing either the flt-1(1,2,3)/FLT4 or the flt-1(2)/FLT4 chimeric receptors were plated in 12-well format at 50,000  
25 cells/well in low glucose DMEM media containing 10% FBS, 100 units/ml Penicillin-Streptomycin (Gibco BRL), 2 mM Glutamine, 2.5 microgram/ml Fungizone (Gibco BRL), and 200 micrograms/ml G418 (Gibco BRL). Following 18-24 hours of serum starvation in media containing 0.5% FBS, growth factors or 10% FBS were added. The concentration of VEGF<sub>165</sub>  
30 added ranged from 5 pg/ml to 300 ng/ml; PlGF<sub>152</sub> concentrations were

between 5.12 ng/ml and 3.2 micrograms/ml; the concentration of VEGF-C was 40 ng/ml and 4 micrograms/ml. Following stimulation for 12-16 hours at 37°C, [<sup>3</sup>H]thymidine (1 mCi/ml; 5 Ci/mmol) was added for a final concentration of 1 microcurie/ml and incubation proceeded at 37°C for 4  
5 hours. Removal of the media and several PBS washes was succeeded by TCA precipitation. Following the removal of TCA, cells were then lysed with 0.2N NaOH, 1% SDS, transferred to scintillation vials and neutralized with 2M Na<sub>2</sub>OAc, pH 4.0. The samples were counted using the tritium channel.

- 10 The results of these experiments demonstrated that while VEGF did not stimulate tyrosine phosphorylation in cells transiently expressing the intact FLT4 receptor, significant tyrosine phosphorylation was observed in cells transiently expressing the flt-1(2)/FLT4 chimeric receptor or the flt-1(1,2,3)/FLT4 chimeric receptor. Thus, these experiments  
15 demonstrate that the flt-1(2)/FLT4 chimeric receptor and the flt-1(1,2,3)/FLT4 chimeric receptor are able to bind and specifically respond to VEGF. Moreover, these clones showed a significant response to VEGF in the thymidine incorporation assay.

**Concluding Remarks:**

- 20 The foregoing description details specific methods which can be employed to practice the present invention. Having detailed such specific methods, those skilled in the art will well enough known how to devise alternative reliable methods at arriving at the same information in using the fruits of the present invention. Thus, however, detailed the foregoing  
25 may appear in text, it should not be construed as limiting the overall scope thereof; rather, the ambit of the present invention is to be determined only by the lawful construction of the appended claims. All documents cited herein are expressly incorporated by reference.

**WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:**

1. A chimeric VEGF receptor protein being capable of binding to VEGF and thereby exerting an inhibitory effect thereon, wherein said  
5 chimeric VEGF receptor protein comprises immunoglobulin-like domains 1, 2 and 3 of the flt-1 and/or the KDR receptor or functional equivalents thereof.

2. The chimeric VEGF receptor protein according to Claim 1  
10 wherein immunoglobulin-like domains 1, 2 and 3 are all derived from the flt-1 receptor.

3. The chimeric VEGF receptor protein according to Claim 1  
15 wherein immunoglobulin-like domains 1, 2 and 3 are all derived from the KDR receptor.

4. The chimeric VEGF receptor protein according to Claim 1  
20 wherein immunoglobulin-like domains 1 and 3 are derived from the flt-1 receptor and immunoglobulin-like domain 2 is derived from the KDR receptor.

5. The chimeric VEGF receptor protein according to Claim 1  
25 wherein immunoglobulin-like domains 1 and 3 are derived from the KDR receptor and immunoglobulin-like domain 2 is derived from the flt-1 receptor.

6. The chimeric VEGF receptor protein according to Claim 1  
30 wherein immunoglobulin-like domains 1 and 2 are derived from the flt-1 receptor and immunoglobulin-like domain 3 is derived from the KDR receptor.

7. The chimeric VEGF receptor protein according to Claim 1  
wherein immunoglobulin-like domains 1 and 2 are derived from the KDR



receptor and immunoglobulin-like domain 3 is derived from the flt-1 receptor.

8. The chimeric VEGF receptor protein according to Claim 1 wherein immunoglobulin-like domain 1 is derived from the flt-1 receptor  
5 and immunoglobulin-like domains 2 and 3 are derived from the KDR receptor.

9. The chimeric VEGF receptor protein according to Claim 1 wherein immunoglobulin-like domain 1 is derived from the KDR receptor and immunoglobulin-like domains 2 and 3 are derived from the flt-1  
10 receptor.

10. The chimeric VEGF receptor protein according to Claim 1 wherein said immunoglobulin-like domains 1, 2 and 3 are fused to the F<sub>c</sub> portion of a human IgG immunoglobulin.

11. The chimeric VEGF receptor protein according to Claim 1  
15 which is soluble.

12. A chimeric VEGF receptor protein being capable of binding to VEGF and thereby exerting an inhibitory effect thereon, said chimeric VEGF receptor protein comprising the extracellular domain of the FLT4 receptor wherein immunoglobulin-like domain 2 of said FLT4 receptor is  
20 replaced by immunoglobulin-like domain 2 of the flt-1 or KDR receptor or a functional equivalent thereof and wherein one or more other immunoglobulin-like domains of said FLT4 receptor are or are not replaced by the corresponding immunoglobulin-like domain of the flt-1 or KDR receptor.

13. The chimeric VEGF receptor protein according to Claim 12 having immunoglobulin-like domain 2 of the flt-1 receptor and immunoglobulin-like domains 1 and 3 to 7 of the FLT4 receptor.
14. The chimeric VEGF receptor protein according to Claim 12  
5 having immunoglobulin-like domains 1 to 3 of the flt-1 receptor and immunoglobulin-like domains 4 to 7 of the FLT4 receptor.
15. The chimeric VEGF receptor protein according to Claim 12 whose amino acid sequence is shown in Figure 9.
16. The chimeric VEGF receptor protein according to Claim 12  
10 whose amino acid sequence is shown in Figure 10.
17. The chimeric VEGF receptor protein according to Claim 12 which is soluble.
18. The chimeric VEGF receptor protein according to Claim 12 which is membrane-bound.
- 15 19. A nucleic acid encoding the chimeric VEGF receptor protein of Claims 1 or 12.
20. A replicable expression vector capable in a transformant host cell of expressing the chimeric VEGF receptor protein of Claims 1 or 12.
21. Host cells transformed with the replicable expression vector  
20 according to Claim 20.
22. Host cells according to Claim 21 which are CEN4 cells.

23. A composition of matter comprising a chimeric VEGF receptor protein according to Claim 1 compounded with a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier.
24. A method of producing a chimeric VEGF receptor protein  
5 comprising the steps of introducing into a suitable expression system the expression vector of Claim 20 and effecting the expression of said chimeric VEGF receptor protein.
25. A method of therapeutically treating a mammal for a condition associated with undesired vascularization, said method  
10 comprising administering to said mammal a therapeutically effective amount of a composition according to Claim 23.
26. The method according to Claim 25 wherein said condition associated with undesired vascularization includes the formation of a tumor.
- 15 27. The method according to Claim 26 wherein said tumor is malignant.
28. A method of substantially inhibiting VEGF activity in a mammal comprising administering to said mammal a VEGF inhibiting amount of a chimeric VEGF receptor protein according to Claims 1 or 12.

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No.  
PCT/US 97/07694

## A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC 6 C12N15/12 C12N15/62 C07K14/705 C07K14/71 C07K16/00  
C12Q1/68 C12N1/21 C12N5/08 A61K38/17 A61K39/395

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 6 C07K C12N C12Q

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	WO 95 33050 A (LYNXVALE LTD ;CHARNOCK JONES DAVID STEPHEN (GB); BOOCOCH CHRISTINE) 7 December 1995 see the whole document	1-28
A	CHAMOV, S.M., ET AL. : "IMMUNOADHESINS: PRINCIPLES AND APPLICATIONS" TIBTECH, vol. 14, February 1996, pages 52-60, XP002043158 see page 57, left-hand column; table 1	1-28

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

### \* Special categories of cited documents :

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

"A" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

10 October 1997

Date of mailing of the international search report

31. 10. 97

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,  
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Holtorf, S

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No  
PCT/US 97/07694

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	<p>AIELLO, L.P., ET AL.: "SUPPRESSION OF RETINAL NEOVASCULARIZATION IN VIVO BY INHIBITION OF VASCULAR ENDOTHELIAL GROWTH FACTOR (VEGF) USING SOLUBLE VEGF-RECEPTOR CHIMERIC PROTEINS"</p> <p>PROCEEDINGS OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF THE USA, vol. 92, November 1995, pages 10457-10461, XP002043159 see the whole document</p>	1-28
A	<p>PARK, J.E., ET AL. : "PLACENTA GROWTH FACTOR"</p> <p>JOURNAL OF BIOLOGICAL CHEMISTRY, vol. 269, no. 41, October 1994, pages 25646-25654, XP002043160 cited in the application see page 25647, left-hand column; figure 1</p>	1-28
A	<p>WO 95 33772 A (ALITALO KARI ;KAIPAINEN ARJA (FI); KORHONEN JAANA (FI); MUSTONEN T) 14 December 1995 see the whole document</p>	1-28
A	<p>WO 94 11499 A (MAX PLANCK GESELLSCHAFT) 26 May 1994 see the whole document</p>	1-28
A	<p>WO 95 21868 A (IMCLONE SYSTEMS INC) 17 August 1995 see the whole document</p>	1-28
P,X	<p>DAVIS-SMYTH, T., ET AL. : "THE SECOND IMMUNOGLOBULIN-LIKE DOMAIN OF THE VEGF TYROSINE KINASE RECEPTOR FLT-1 DETERMINES LIGAND BINDING AND MAY INITIATE A SIGNAL TRANSDUCTION CASCADE"</p> <p>THE EMBO JOURNAL, vol. 15, no. 8, September 1996, pages 4919-4927, XP000611912 see page 4924, right-hand column; figure 2</p>	1,2, 10-16, 18, 20-25,28
P,X	<p>CUNNINGHAM, S.A., ET AL. : "IDENTIFICATION OF THE EXTRACELLULAR DOMAINS OF FLT-1 THAT MEDIATE LIGAND INTERACTIONS"</p> <p>BIOCHEMICAL AND BIOPHYSICAL RESEARCH COMMUNICATIONS, vol. 231, February 1997, pages 596-599, XP002043161 see the whole document</p>	1-3,10, 11,20, 21, 23-25,28

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No. . .

PCT/US 97/ 07694

## Box I Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 1 of first sheet)

This International Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1. ☒ Claims Nos.:  
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:  
Remark: Although claims 25-28 are directed to a method of treatment of the human/animal body, the search has been carried out and based on the alleged effects of the compound/composition.
2. ☐ Claims Nos.:  
because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically:
3. ☐ Claims Nos.:  
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).

## Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:

1. ☐ As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.
2. ☐ As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. ☐ As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. ☐ No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:

Remark on Protest

☐ The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.

☐ No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

information on patent family members

Inter. Appl. Application No

PCT/US 97/07694

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
W0 9533050 A	07-12-95	AU 2535495 A CA 2191071 A EP 0783571 A	21-12-95 07-12-95 16-07-97
-----	-----	-----	-----
W0 9533772 A	14-12-95	AU 2738895 A CA 2192235 A FI 964862 A NO 965231 A	04-01-96 14-12-95 10-02-97 06-12-96
-----	-----	-----	-----
W0 9411499 A	26-05-94	AU 5562794 A CA 2149298 A CN 1094445 A EP 0669978 A JP 8505763 T	08-06-94 26-05-94 02-11-94 06-09-95 25-06-96
-----	-----	-----	-----
W0 9521868 A	17-08-95	AU 1914795 A EP 0741748 A	29-08-95 13-11-96
-----	-----	-----	-----